INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
ISHIHARA, Kanji (reading deposition continued)	22135
Cross by Mr. Dunigan	22157
(Concluded)	22253
SHIMIZU, Tozo	22254
Direct by Mr. Furness	22254
(Witness excused)	22294

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
1352	2585		Affidavit of SHIMIZU, Tozo		22259
1398	2586		Gist of Conversation Between Navy Minister YONAI and Wang dated 12 June 1939		22274
1442	2587		Gist of the Conversation Between Prince KONOYE and Wang dated 14 June 1939		22276
1298	2588		China's Note in regard to Practice of the Principle of Respectin the Sovereignty of Chi dated 25 June 1939		22276
927 - 951	2589		Book (printed in Japanese entitled "Sharing Our Fate"	22293	

1	Thursday, 15 May 1947
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST
5	Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan
6	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	Appearances:
12	For the Tribunal, same as before.
13	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
14	For the Defense Section, same as before.
15	
16	(English to Japanese and Japanese
17	to English interpretation was made by the
18	Language Section, IMTFE.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Spratt & Yelde

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

What is the hold-up due to?

MR. MATTICE: Your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: Resuming paragraph beginning near the bottom of page 40: (exhibit No. 2584, deposition of ISHIHARA, Kanji) (Reading)

The army was not concerned much in the politics and economy of the new state. But, we were confident that, in order to exterminate disturbances through racial unity in Manchuria and to realize co-existence and co-prosperity of Japan and China, Japan herself should set an example, by abandoning her claims to the rights and interests and contributing to the stabilization of Manchuria in a new moral basis. With this confidence we instructed officers and men to watch over their own conducts with great self-restraint.

As the new state was successfully founded, there appeared afterward some people, military and civilian, who claimed for themselves the credit of its foundation, saying that they planned it themselves or with the Kwantung Army, etc. But the foundation

of Manchukuo was nothing more than a historical 1 2 product of Manchuria, and I believe that the idea 3 of racial cooperation will live forever." THE COMMISSIONER: Have you any further 5 questions to put outside of the affidavit? 6 MR. MATTICE: Yes, Commissioner. 7 Mr. OKAMOTO, one of the Japanese counsel 8 for the defense, desires to ask this witness a few 9 questions. I prefer that he do it, if he may. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Before that is done, 11 Mr. Mattice, perhaps I should have had you explain 12 on whose behalf this witness is tendered. 13 MR. MATTICE: On behalf of the defense; 14 meaning by that, that he is not offered as a witness 15 on behalf of any particular defendant, but is offered 16 as a witness in what we designated as the Manchurian 17 phase. 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Inasmuch as the defense is not an abstraction, does that mean he is offered 20 on behalf of all the accused? 21 MR. MATTICE: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Then, for whom does

19

23

24

25

MR. MATTICE: In particular, Mr. OKAMOTO appears for the accused MINAMI.

Mr. OKAMOTO appear when he interrogates him?

THE COMMISSIONER: And is it in that 1 behalf he now interrogates? MR. MATTICE: That is true, your Honor, on 3 behalf of the accused MINAMI. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Will you proceed, Mr. OKAMOTO? MR. T. OKAMOTO: Thank you, sir. THE COMMISSIONER: Just one moment, please. 8 MR. DUNIGAN: I do not know that this has 9 any bearing upon the proceedings. I am merely 10 calling it to the Court's attention that to the best of my knowledge and belief this witness was subpoenaed 12 on behalf of TOJO and no other defendant. THE COMMISSIONER: Being before the Court upon subpoena does not prevent his being tendered 16 on behalf of all the accused. 17 MR. DUNIGAN: I merely call it to the

Court's attention.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: In view of the prosecutor's remarks, there is one point on which I should like to explain. I do not believe that the present witness was subpoensed on behalf of the defendant TOJO. I believe that the present witness was called -the appearance of this witness was requested by the defendants MINAMI, KOISO, ITAGAKI and two or three

2

4

5

6

7

11

13

14

15

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

others who were connected with the Manchurian
Incident, and that this application was granted.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. OKAMOTO, I do not
think you need pursue that. He is quite entitled
to be examined on behalf of anyone, once being
before the Court by subpoena.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: One word more -- may I add one word more of explanation?

The first 4 to 5 questions will be asked on behalf of the defendant MINAMI, the next 2 or 3 questions will be asked on behalf of the defendant KIDO, whose defense counsel requested me to ask these questions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Will you be good enough then, when you come to the questions, to indicate on whose behalf they are being presented.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Yes, sir.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. T. OKAMOTO (Continued):

Q My first question is this, Mr. Witness: While you were staff officer of the Kwantung Army, did you ever make any research concerning the military preparations of the Chang Hsueh-liang regime?

A Yes.

Q Please state the general details of this.

1 2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23

others who were connected with the Manchurian
Incident, and that this application was granted.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. OKAMOTO, I do not

think you need pursue that. He is quite entitled to be examined on behalf of anyone, once being before the Court by subpoena.

MR. T. OKANOTO: One word more -- may I add one word more of explanation?

The first 4 to 5 questions will be asked on behalf of the defendant MINAMI, the next 2 or 3 questions will be asked on behalf of the defendant KIDO, whose defense counsel requested me to ask these questions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Will you be good enough then, when you come to the questions, to indicate on whose behalf they are being presented.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Yes, sir.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. T. OKAMOTO (Continued):

Q My first question is this, Mr. Witness: While you were staff officer of the Kwantung Army, did you ever make any research concerning the military preparations of the Chang Hsueh-liang regime?

A Yes.

Q Please state the general details of this,

1 2

3

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18°

20

21

22

23

24

briefly?

A The total strength of Chang Hsueh-liang's army was from 200,000 to 250,000. The equipment of his forces outside of Mukden, numbering about 150,000, was not of the best.

THE INTERPRETER: Outside, other than the Mukden forces; correction.

A (Continuing) However, the Mukden forces, numbering about 100,000 which were under the direct control of the Chang Hsueh-liang regime, had excellent equipment and compared to the Japanese forces stationed in Manchuria was far superior.

Two facts worthy of attention are that while the Japanese army in Manchuria did not possess a single airplane at the time, the Mukden forces had several -- nad a considerable number of airplanes, while, as for tank units, while the Japanese army had none the Mukden forces had many. That is all.

Q What were the operational plans of the Kwantung Army against this Chang Hsueh-liang army which had all this modern equipment?

A Briefly, our plan was this: If a collision between the Japanese and Chinese forces should occur, in other words, if the Chinese forces should attack the Japanese, no matter where that particular

attack happened to come, the Japanese Army was to concentrate all the forces -- all the strength it could and to -- all the strength it could against Mukden. That is all.

Q Were the seizure of air fields and of the Mukden arsenal among these operational plans?

A We had no plans concerning the ukden arsenal. Concerning air fields we had no especial plans. However, in August 1931, after the arrival of Lieutenant General HONJO as commander, new plans were set up and forces stationed at Fuhsien were to make a surprise attack. The company stationed at Fuhsien was to make a surprise attack.

Q Are you aware that because the Fuhsien company -- because the company stationed at Fuhsien was given such instructions several problems arose?

A Yes, I am aware of that.

Q Please explain this point, briefly.

A As for the plans, they covered a very narrow extent. This plan was -- this particular plan was sent to the commander of the company stationed at Fuhsien towards the end of August upon approval. When I met the company commander several days after the capture of Mukden the captain made several explanations to me -- the company commander. He said that he

'elt extreme anxiety that this plan required him to leave Fuhsien, which was a very important point in order to attack the air fields. He told me that he wished to insure the defense of Fuhsien by utilizing the police and ex-servicemen to the utmost extent possible, and wanted to consult with these people, but because he was unable to divulge to them the plan, he told them of a certain hypothesis and had these people gather as if it were a kind of maneuver. This hypothesis was that on the 18th of September a certain untoward event was to occur in Mukden which would necessitate his leaving his post. "In which circumstances what would you do," he asked these people. Immediately after this consultation was over he sent notes to the various people concerned saying that this was a complete hypothesis and calling it off. However, this report was sent from the Consul-General in Mukden to the Foreign Office and because of this the army was caused considerable embarrassment concerning which the company commander apologized very deeply to me that this was because of his own lightheaded judgment. I heard from the Commander in Chief and from the staff officers concerned that this incident had created a great problem.

The fact that this date of September 18 was

25

1

2

purely a coincidence is -- can be certified by the following facts: On the day when -- on the actual day of September 18, this company commander was extremely excited, and, forgetting to attack the air fields that had been planned, he rushed to Mukden with his forces in a very incomplete state of armament.

Q Do you know the name of this company commander?

A I have forgotten his full name, but his last name was KAWAKAMI.

Q Do you know whether he is living or not at present?

A I do not know.

Q Are you aware that one of the -- there was a brigade commander by the name of Wang Iche among the officers in Chang Hsueh-liang's army?

A I do.

Q Have you ever met this Wang Iche?

A I visited him once for a short time.

Q What was the fighting spirit of Chang
Hsuch-liang's army and in particular of the brigade
under Wang Iche, and what was their -- for instance,
their attitude toward the Japanese?

A They were among the forces which were

purely a coincidence is -- can be certified by the following facts: On the day when -- on the actual day of September 18, this company commander was extremely excited, and, forgetting to attack the air fields that had been planned, he rushed to Mukden with his forces in a very incomplete state of armament.

Q Do you know the name of this company commander?

A I have forgetten his full name, but his

A I have forgotten his full name, but his last name was KAWAKAMI.

Q Do you know whether he is living or not at present?

A I do not know.

Q Are you aware that one of the -- there was a brigade commander by the name of Wang Iche among the officers in Chang Hsueh-liang's army?

A I do.

Q Have you ever met this Wang Iche?

A I visited him once for a short time.

Q What was the fighting spirit of Chang
Hsuch-liang's army and in particular of the brigade
under Wang Iche, and what was their -- for instance,
their attitude toward the Japanese?

A They were among the forces which were

stationed in the north barracks. This brigade was one of the strongest and one of the most anti-Japanese.

When the Chief of Staff of my division arrived to take up his post, he said he would like to pay a courtesy call to Wang Iche and I accompanied him on this visit. At that time Wang was giving his summary of maneuvers which had been conducted by the brigade in the assembly hall of the brigade.

THE INTERPRETER: Summary and comments, correction.

A (Continuing) We were taken to the room of his aide. In the aide's room we found a copy of the brigade commander's instructions printed and hung up on the wall. In the near -- this instruction stated that "in the near future we will receive an attack from a neighboring power -- from a strong neighboring power. We must resist this attack with all the means at our disposal."

MR. DUNIGAN: If your Honor please.

A (Continuing) This was the context of the instructions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Dunigan.

MR. DUNIGAN: I am going to make an objection to any further testimony by this witness at this point

and in this manner, based upon the proposition that he is giving testimony now which is not contained in the affidavit. In other words, he is being exam-ined here orally. As I understand, the ruling of the Tribunal sometime ago was to the effect that witnesses

from that point on should give their testimony by affidavit.

THE COMMISSIONER: I do not need to hear you, Mr. OKAMOTO.

The principal function of the Tribunal, as of this Commission, is to ascertain all relevant facts. Rules of procedure are largely dictated by matters of convenience. That was especially the case with the rule to which Mr. Dunigan has referred. In proper cases it will not operate to exclude relevant evidence.

The witness is now speaking of information he obtained through a document he read in the quarters of the Chinese General. It appears to be relevant and therefore is admissible.

IR. DUNIGAN: The objection, your Honor, was not based upon the fact that the testimony was irrelevant.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I quite appreciate that. As it is relevant the rule should not operate to exclude it.

MR. DUNIGAN: Very well, your Honor.

THE COMMISSIONER: You may continue, Mr.

24 OKAMOTO.

BY MR. T. OKAMOTO (Continuing):

11

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

14

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

Q Did the Wang Iche forces ever take any action which might be construed as a challenge to the Japanese forces?

A Various obstructionistic tactics were carried out time and again towards the railway lines which lay to the west of the north barracks. Just one week before the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident -- just about -- a Japanese patrol discovered several Chinese soldiers laying large rocks on the tracks one evening. When a member of this patrol tried to take one of these Chinese soldiers to a guardpost along the line, several Chinese soldiers who had been cooling themselves in the Hokuryo came back and started a fight with the Japanese soldiers in order to get this Chinese soldier back and we were all -- the two groups almost shed blood.

After the north barracks had been captured subsequent to the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident, the Japanese forces discovered the instructions which I had seen in the room of General Wang's aide in every room of the north barracks, and, furthermore, in white characters on a public background, the words in Chinese characters "Seize railway lines to the west of the north barracks." This was a poster. This was in poster form.

0 011 11	
Q Did any airplanes belonging to the Wang	
Iche forces carry out demonstration flights over	
the Japanese barracks or over the houses of Japanese	
residents?	
A These flights were carried out very frequentl	у
and in spite of repeated Japanese protests they were	

Q Around this time, that is to say, August to September of 1931, did the Kwantung Army ever consult with the central military authorities concern-

ing its operational plans?

A No.

Q By that would you mean to say that, for instance, in the case of changing the plans of operations of the company stationed at Fuhsien, was this carried out on the arbitrary decision of the Commanderin-Chief of the Kwantung Army?

THE COMMISSIONER: Before that question is answered; that is a very objectionable question, Mr. OKAMOTO, because in effect you are putting the words into the witness' mouth. It is too late now and therefore I must let the question go.

MR. DUNIGAN: I had no objection to it, your Honor.

THE COMMISSIONER: You understand the probative

value of an answer which is put into the mouth of a witness is very gravely reduced.

THE INTERPRETER: The witness answered "yes" before Mr. Justice Northcroft spoke.

- Did the Kwantung Army receive instructions from central military authorities concerning how best to settle the incident after the Lukuochiao Incident had already broken out?
 - Yes, they did. A
- Was the line of policy laid down by the central military authorities -- did it coincide with the Kwantung Army's own plans, or was it in variance with them?
- They were in complete accordance with the A Kwantung Army's plans.
 - In what sense were they -- did they accord?
- In the sense that they tried to restrict military operations to the minimum.

IR. DUNIGAN: If your Honor please, this has all been covered, as I see it, in the affidavit. I do not know whether the Court wants to hear it all over again or not, but this is covered in the affidavit, it is submitted.

THE COMMISSIONER: I assume that is correct, but I assume also that it is leading to something which

3

4 5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

is not in the affidavit.

IR. DUNIGAN: All right, sir.

Are you aware then that in spite of the fact that the ideas of the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army coincided with the line of policy set down by central military authorities as far as the results went, in various respects the actions of the Kwantung Army did not coincide with the line of policy set down by the central military authorities. That do you think of this?

I have the question repeated?" to which Mr. OKAMOTO replied, "In brief, although as you said, the ideas of the Commander-in-Chief coincided with those of central military authorities, as far as the results went various actions taken by the Ewantung Army did not always coincide with the line of policy set down by central military authorities. What do you think of this?

A I regret to say that there were very many points on which the actions taken by the Kwantung Army did not coincide with the policies of central military authorities.

THE COMMISSIONER: Does that conclude your examination for the accused MINAMI, Mr. OKAMOTO?

1 2

3

4 5

6

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

10

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Yes. 1 THE COMMISSIONER: And then after MINAMI, 2 KIDO3 3 FR. T. OKAMOTO: Yes, that is the end. 4 next one is for KIDO. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: This is a convenient time to adjourn, and we will adjourn now until 11 o'clock. 7 (Thereupon, at 1048, a recess was 8 taken until 1103, after which the proceedings 9 were resumed as follows:) 10 THE COMMISSIONER: You now propose to 11 12 examine on behalf of the accused KIDO. 13 IR. T. OKAMOTO: I wish to ask a few ques-14 tions on the request of the defense lawyer for the 15 defendant KIDO. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Please do so. 17 BY IR. T. OKAMOTO (Continued): 18 Q Did you meet Premier Prince MONOYE in January 1938? 20 Yes, I did. 21 Did you talk with him about the China 22 problem on that occasion? 23 A He asked my opinion and the visit consisted

"hat were your opinions on this occasion?

mostly of my stating my opinions.

19

24

4 5

7 8

MR. DUNIGAN: I think I will object to that question, your Honor. It is so broad I do not know what the counsel has in mind. I would not object if he specifies what he intends to ask the witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: What do you say to the objection, Mr. OKAMOTO?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: I am very sorry to have to say that I simply asked the question as given to me by the defendant -- counsel for the defendant KIDO.

I believe, however, that this -- the witness is well aware of what is to follow.

THE COMMISSIONER: Is the question directed to asking what opinion the witness stated to Prince KONOYE?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: Yes, that is so. This is what the witness had to say when Premier Prince KONOYE asked his opinion, particularly in regard to the Chinese problem.

THE COMMISSIONER: Then I think the objection is properly taken and the question should not be answered. Will you please proceed to the next question.

Q Did Premier KONOYE talk to you on this occasion on whether he did or did not plan to ask General ITAGAKI to become War Minister?

4

3

6

8

10

12

13 14

16

17

15

18

21

22

20

23

25

A No, he did not talk to me about this.

AR. T. OKAMOTO: That completes the questions as far as the defendant KIDO is concerned and I should like to be permitted to ask a few questions on behalf of the defendant ITAGAKI.

THE COMMISSIONER: You may do so.

Q When is it that you were Chief of the First Section of the General Staff Office?

A From March, 1937, to the end of September of the same year.

Q Did you ever conduct any negotiations with the War Minister in your capacity as Chief of the First Section of the General Staff Office?

A I did not conduct any important direct negotiations with the War Minister. That is the duty of the Vice-Chief of Staff.

Q As Chief of the First Section were you in charge of setting up operational plans?

A Yes.

Q Do you know whether the War Minister had anything to do with these plans?

A That is not possible. It is not possible that the War Minister could have anything to do with these plans.

Q Would you explain this point further?

A It is the responsibility of the C.ief of the General Staff to set up operational and strategic plans and the War Minister has no authority concerning this. However, when these plans have been completed, after having first been approved by the Emperor, they are shown to the War Minister.

Q Going back a few years; when ITAGAKI was a staff officer of the Kwantung Army were you also a staff officer of the same Army?

A Yes, I was.

Q Around the time of the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident, that is to say, around the 18th of September, 1931, where was ITAGAKI?

A Until the afternoon of the 18th of September he was on an inspection tour together with the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, and on the afternoon of the 18th was in Liaoyang. However, that same night he returned to Mukden. That same evening he returned to Mukden.

Q Are you aware that the defendant ITAGAKI instructed Regimental Commander HIRATA and Commander of the garrison SHIMAMOTO that same hight at Mukden?

A I am aware that on the night this incident -when the incident broke out these three, that is to
say, ITAGAKI, HIRATA and SHIMAMOTO acted --took

It is the responsibility of the Cief of	
neral Staff to set up operational and strate	gi
and the War Minister has no authority concer	n-
s. However, when these plans have been com	-
, after having first been approved by the	
, they are shown to the War Minister.	
Going back a few years; when ITAGAKI was	a
officer of the Kwantung Army were you also a	
officer of the same Army?	
Yes, I was.	
i c	A It is the responsibility of the Chief of eneral Staff to set up operational and strate and the War Minister has no authority concerns. However, when these plans have been compared by the after having first been approved by the er, they are shown to the War Minister. Q Going back a few years; when ITAGAKI was officer of the Kwantung Army were you also a officer of the same Army? A Yes, I was.

Q Around the time of the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident, that is to say, around the 18th of September, 1931, where was ITAGAKI?

A Until the afternoon of the 18th of September he was on an inspection tour together with the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, and on the afternoon of the 18th was in Liaoyang. However, that same night he returned to Mukden. That same evening he returned to Mukden.

Q Are you aware that the defendant ITAGAKI instructed Regimental Commander HIRATA and Commander of the garrison SHIMAMOTO that same hight at Mukden?

A I am aware that on the night this incident -when the incident broke out these three, that is to
say, ITAGAKI, HIRATA and SHIMAMOTO acted -- took

10.00

common action. However, I believe you must exercise great care in using a word such as "instruct" or "guide."

Q Well then, how may I understand the word "instruct" or "guide"?

A By instructing or guiding I understand the supervision -- the direction of the activities of subordinates by a superior, having a certain authority.

Q Did ITAGAKI have any authority to give orders to HIRATA or SHIMAMOTO?

A He had no authority to give orders to them himself. Depending on circumstances he might be able to guide them, that is to say, he would -- on such an occasion he would have to convey to them the ideas of the Commander-in-Chief clearly.

Q Then, were the actions which ITAGAKI took on and around the 18th of September in accordance with the policy of the Commander-in-Chief?

A Yes. In particular I should like to state that the Commander-in-Chief completed on the afternoon of the 18th a tour of the division to the south of Hsinking, and especially in respect to the military preparations of that

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

division and on the afternoon of the 18th had given his comments and summary of this tour to the Divisional Commander at Liaoyang. At the end of these instructions he said that the situation was extremely tense and no one was able to predict what might happen at any moment. If matters should suddenly take a turn for the worse each unit should speedily and bravely take action -- appropriate action -- and he especially stressed the necessity of taking speedy action. We staff officers, talking about these instructions among ourselves, commented on the forcefulness of Commanderin-Chief HONJO's remarks as Commander-in-Chief HONJO had the reputation of being a very -- of being a man of a very moderate temperament. It is clear that these instructions did not reach Regimental Commander HIRATA when the Manchurian Incident broke out that night. It was very rational that Colonel ITAGAKI should, understanding the firm instructions of the Commander-in-Chief, guide Colonel HIRATA to take speedy and appropriate action. Colonel HIRATA was a very brave military officer who had received a citation for courage in the Russo-Japanese War, so I believed that even if Colonel ITAGAKI had not given him any special guidance or instructions, Colonel HIRATA would have taken appropriate action himself. Therefore,

13 14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

I believe that although in those circumstances

Colonel ITAGAKI was entitled to guide Colonel

HIRATA, because Colonel HIRATA himself was a man
who took very speedy and appropriate action, the

actions of the two practically coincided.

THE COMMIETIONER: That is rather going beyond your question. When you find the witness doing so would you be good enough to stop him.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: This concludes my questions on behalf of ITAGAKI.

THE COMMISTIONER: Are there other defense counsel who desire to question on behalf of their clients?

MR. T. OKAMOTO: At the present moment I believe there are none, your Honor.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Dunigan, I understand you have some discomfort in standing at the moment. That being so, if you desire to cross-examine in your seat you may do do.

MR. DUNIGAN: Thank you very much.

THE COMMISSIONER: You may stand or sit at your own choice.

MR. DUNIGAN: Do I understand that all defense counsel have no questions, no further questions at this time --

1 2

1	THE COMMISSIONER: That is as it has been
2	stated.
3	MR. DUNIGAN:other than questions which
4	may arise on redirect, or whatever you want to call it?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: You heard the statement,
6	Mr. Dunigan. We will deal with that application when
7	it arises, if they make such application. (End reading)
8	MR. MATTICE: Mr. Dunigan of the prosecution
9	will read the cross-examination, if the Tribunal please.
10	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Dunigan.
11	Mi. DUNIGAN: (Reading)
12	Q General ISHIHARA, I shall try to frame my
13	questions so that you can give short answers.
14	Now, in 1928, in October, what was your rank?
15	A I was Lieutenant Colonel.
16	Q And in 1932 what was your rank?
17	A In August of that year I was promoted to
18	Colonel.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: In August of what year?
20	MR. DUNIGAN: 1932.
21	Q Now, you have told us that during the period
22	from October 1928 to 1932 you were in charge of
23	operational plans of the Kwantung Army, so that so

far as the Kwantung Army was concerned, any operation

100	
1	it took you would know about it; is that true?
2	A As far as operations were concerned, I
3	knew about them.
4	Now, coming up to August, 1931, was ITAGAKI
5	connected with the Kwantung Army?
6	A He was a staff officer of the Kwantung
7	Army.
8	Q And would ITAGAKI likewise know of the
9	operational plans of the Kwantung Army?
0	A Yes.
1	Q to that so far as operations were concerned,
2	there wasn't much going on in the Kwantung Army that
3	you and ITAGAKI did not know about?
4	A Les.
5	Q In fact, General, there wasn't much going
5	on in the Kwantung Army that you and ITAGAKI did not
7	know about?
8	A As far as operations and strategy were
9	concerned, I was aware of whatever was going on.
)	Q You have answered the cuestion, General.
	I will ask you other questions. You have answered
	the question.
	THE COMMISSIONER: Upon that the witness
	must understand that he is required to answer
5	

questions shortly, but if the short answer is not

it took you would know about it; is that true?
A As far as operations were concerned, I
knew about them.
Now, coming up to August, 1931, was ITAGAKI
connected with the Kwantung Army?
A He was a staff officer of the Kwantung
Army.
Q And would ITAGAKI likewise know of the
operational plans of the Kwantung Army?
A Yes.
Q so that so far as operations were concerned,
there wasn't much going on in the Kwantung Army that
you and ITAGAKI did not know about?
A Les.
Q In fact, General, there wasn't much going
on in the Kwantung Army that you and ITAGAKI did not
know about?
A As far as operations and strategy were
concerned, I was aware of whatever was going on.
Q You have answered the question, General.
I will ask you other questions. You have answered
the question.
THE COMMISSIONER: Upon that the witness

must understand that he is required to answer

questions shortly, but if the short answer is not

3

5

7

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

24

25

complete and would create a false impression, he is entitled to amplify after he has given a short answer.

CROSS

THE WITNESS: In answer to the prosecutor's question I had merely stated the fact as regards myself. I was just going on to state what the facts were regarding ITAGAKI when the prosecutor interrupted me.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Will you please go on.

Q Aside from the Kwantung Army, General, did you have a fair idea of things in Tokyo so far as the military was concerned?

A I knew hardly anything.

Q fo far as you know, did ITAGAKI have a fair idea of the situation in Tokyo as regards the military?

A Since ITAGAKI also never worked in -- never held position for any long period of time in Tokyo I do not think you can say that he was very conversant, fully conversant, with everything that was going on in Tokyo.

Q Well, did you, in your position as operational officer, receive reports from time to time as to the situation in Tokyo?

A In so far as they affected the duty of the

Kwantung Army I received such reports. 1 Did you, as operational officer of the 2 Kwantung Army, read your home papers from time to 3 4 time? 5 I read them every day. Q And did those newspapers carry accounts 6 from time to time as to Japan's military status? 8 In principle the newspapers did not write 9 up anything about Japan's military preparations or 10 status. 11 Did the newspapers carry any reports in 12 connection with Japan's naval strength? 13 As far as the navy was concerned, compared 14 with information regarding the army, the newspapers 15 carried more information. 16 Q And did you read the newspapers with respect 17 to that information? 18 A Yes. 19 so that taking all things into consideration, 20 General, you did have some idea as to Japan's position 21 in the world, both military and naval? 22 I knew of this in my professional character 23

rather more than from what I was able to get from the

Well, I would rather have the professional

24 25

newspapers.

1	character, General. What was Japan's position at
2	that time as a world naval power?
3	A At the time Japan was permitted to have
4	60 per cent of the capital ships possessed by
5	Great Britain and the United States, and approximately
6	
7	Q In short then, Japan would be considered
8	strike out "would be considered" In short then,
9	
10	A That goes without saying.
11	Q And likewise, it goes without saying that she
12	was a great military power. Is that true.
13	A I should say she was a middle class military power.
14	Q At any rate she was not totally lacking in
15	military power?
16	A I should say she was a middle class power as
17	far as the army strength went.
8	Q During 1931, you have told us that you from time
9	to time made a research study of the Chinese situation.
20	MR. DUNIGAN: Did he answer?
1	THE MONITOR: That wasn't a question but a
2	statement.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: That was merely a state-
4	ment. It was not a question.
5	MR. DUNIGAN: I thought I added something to it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

(Continuing) In those duties did you learn anything about China's military might?

Yes, I absorbed guite a deal of knowledge regarding that.

Did you gain any information concerning China's naval strength?

It was very insignificant -- the navy --China's naval strength.

General ISHIHARA, in your affidavit on page one you said that the "Kuomintang influence made a steady inroad on the north-eastern area, instigating and organising anti-Japanese campaigns," and on page 13 of your affidavit you said, in substance, that the Nanking government had no control over the nerth-eastern army. Now, how can you reconcile those two statements?

I believe it is a question of degree. Until the Kuomintang party entered Manchuria, Manchuria was a semi-independent state. However, after the 20 Kuomintang party entered Manchuria, the liaison between the party in Manchuria and in China itself 22 was strengthened and whenever Chang Hsui-liang found 23 it convenient to do so he acted in concert with the central authorities. However, Chang Hsui-liang's position in China is entirely -- was entirely different

4

3

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

21

22

20

23

24 25

from that of a subordinate in an ordinary country. He had a semi-independent status.

- General, I think you have answered the question. Do you want to explain further?
 - A There is nothing more to add.
- Now, you told us on page two of your affidavit that Chang Hsui-liang was equipping his army with tanks, planes and that an intensive training was being provided. You also testified in answer to counsel this morning that particularly with respect to the situation around Mukden, that the army was possessed of great spirit. How do you reconcile that with your statement that the Manking government had no control over the north-eastern forces?
- I do not think there is any inconsistency in those two statements; first, that Chang Hsuiliang's army was strong, and second, that the Manking authorities had no control over that army.
- Is it not true, General, that in one case when it serves your purpose to say that the Nanking government had no control you say it; is that a fact?
- I am not stating things in a certain light when it serves my purpose and in another light to serve another purpose. I am just stating the facts objectively as I saw them myself, particularly in

regard to Chang Hsui-liang who enjoyed a semi-
independent status. He obeyed the Nanking government
when it served his purpose, but when he did not want
to do so, he did not.
Q But you told us on page two of your affidavi
that Chang Hsui-liang declared his allegiance to the
Nanking government. How do you recencile that with
your last answer?
A I do not think much trust can be placed in
the declaration of allegiance by former military
war lords.

Q Is that your conception, General, of the meaning of the word "allegiance"?

A That is the word used in China.

Q Well, did you have that conception in September of 1931?

THE COMMISSIONER: Before that question is answered; that conception at that time in respect of what?

MR. DUNIGAN: The word "allegiance."

THE COMMISSIONER: Is that allegiance to

Tokyo, or the allegiance of the former war lord to the

Kuomintang? You must expand your question so that the

with is knows what he is answering, otherwise that

may be equivocal. Will you please explain the

question so that the witness will know precisely what it is you are asking?

Q (Continuing) General, you said on page two of your affidavit that Chang Hsui-liang had declared his allegiance to the Nanking Government. I ask you how do you reconcile that with your statement that the Nanking Government had no control over the northeastern army?

Just a moment. I understood you to say that Chang Hsui-liang -- that the word "allegiance" so far as it pertained to him -- he used it -- strike the whole thing out.

I understood you to say that he served the central government when it served his purpose and he did not serve when it served his purpose to be a war lord. Was that your answer?

A Yes, that is so.

Q Well, in your opinion, did you think the central government would have appointed Chang Hsuiliang as Vice-Commander of the north-eastern army if it doubted his allegiance?

A Even if they did doubt his allegiance, so long as they were not able to unseat him by force, it was the policy of the Chinese -- it has been the policy of the Chinese to keep a -- to utilize -- to

1 2

CL

overlook any seeming disloyalty. For instance,
Chiang Kai-shek does not certainly trust the Chinese
communist party, but at the present moment neither
does he completely reject cooperation with that party.

MR. DUNIGAN: Well, if your Honor please,
I move to strike out the last portion as being
entirely not responsive to the question.

you upon it, Mr. OKAMOTO. I reject your application,
Mr. Dunigan. It seems to be reasonably explanatory
of his answer.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: I should just like to add a word of explanation. The prosecutor's remark gave the impression that Chang Hsui-liang had been appointed Vice-Commander of the north-eastern armies, but, as is written in the affidavit, he was appointed Vice-Commander of the Kuomintang Army. I should just like to clarify it.

THE COMMISSIONER: I must ask counsel not to interpose observations of this sort. This is not the time todo so. That may be done later when you are addressing the Court in summation.

Will you please proceed with your questions, Mr. Dunigan.

Q Now, you told us on page two of your affidavit

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

that Chang Hsui-liang was appointed Vice-Commander of the Kuomintang Army. Do you think that the central government at Nanking doubted his allegiance in that case? I believe that they did not place very much confidence in his allegiance. However, that is only my supposition. General, on page two of your affidavit you have stated that "Organized actions against or in contempt of Japan were committed," and that that organized action was under the leadership of the 12 north-eastern army. What did the Kwantung Army do with respect to that organized action? They did not -- it did not take any special steps. Q Did it take any steps at all? It took no steps at all. Q What did this organized action against Japan consist of?

For example, the Wanpaoshan Incident --I should like to make a correction on my previous statement. The army did not take any steps in regard to such incidents, but, in regard to the NAKAMURA Incident, the army itself directly conducted an investigation of this affair, the Captain NAKAMURA

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

16 17

19

20

18

21

24

Incident. All incidents between Chinese and
Japanese -- all negotiations regarding incidents
between Chinese and Japanese come under the province
of the Foreign Office. However, in regard to the
Captain NAKAMURA Incident, since NAKAMURA himself
was a military officer the army was forced to conduct
th investigation.

Having ended this correction I should like to answer your question now.

Q General, I did not ask you anything about NAKAMURA. If that is what you are saying I think you have answered the question up to that point.

THE INTERPRETER: The witness' complete answer is: Therefore, for example, the Wanpaoshan Incident, the Captain NAKAMURA Incident -- since you asked me what kind of organized actions were taken against Japan, I stated as an example, first, the Wanpaoshan Incident and was then going on to the NAKAMURA Incident.

A (Continuing) There was another incident in which some Japanese woman who had gone to visit the east Mausoleum to the east of Mukden, in a truck, received great insults.

THE COMMITTIONER: Before the witness goes further, I understood the question you asked as what

action the Japanese -- the Kwantung Army took
in respect of anti-Japanese conduct by the Chinese.
MR. DUNIGAN: That is right, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think the witness has misunderstood and he is dealing with other topics.

MR. DUNIGAN: That is why I interrupted him and told him.

THE COMMISSIONER: I am disposed to agree with you, Mr. Dunigan, that he has answered the question and will you please proceed to your next. If I should be at fault about that, then counsel for the defense in redirect can elaborate on it.

MR. DUNIGAN: Yes, sir.

Q General, you said that with respect to this organized action, the Kwantung Army took no action at all; is that correct?

A Yes, except in the case of the NAKAMURA Incident.

Q Now, did you personally -- did it personally occur to you to get in touch with the diplomatic section with respect to this organized action?

A We were in continual contact with the Foreign Office. However, I understood your previous cuestion to be whether we took any steps, direct steps, against the Chinese side, and that is why I

22

-	answered as I did.
	Q Was this organized action against Japan
	reported to the diplomatic office?
	A We contacted the Foreign Office on every
	incident as far as which we ourselves were able
	to find out about.
	THE COMMISSIONER: I will now adjourn these
	proceedings until 1:30. (End reading)
	MR. DUNIGAN: Then there was a recess.
	(Reading continued)
	Q You told us before the noon recess that
	with respect to this, organized actions against
1	Japan, it was reported to the central authorities
	in Tokyo.
	A There is a correction I would like to make
	in connection with this statement.
1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, please do so.
	Q All right; go ahead.
	A The army did not contact the Foreign Office

directly on these questions, but through the

have to say about the matter?

representative of the Foreign Office in Mukden.

Q What did the Foreign Office in Mukden

answered	as	I d	id
Q	Was	th:	is
reported	to	the	a

organized action against Japan iplomatic office? We contacted the Foreign Office on every

incident as far as -- which we ourselves were able to find out about.

THE COMMISSIONER: I will now adjourn these proceedings until 1:30. (End reading)

MR. DUNIGAN: Then there was a recess. (Reading continued)

You told us before the noon recess that with respect to this, organized actions against Japan, it was reported to the central authorities in Tokyo.

There is a correction I would like to make in connection with this statement.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, please do so.

- Q All right; go ahead.
- The army did not contact the Foreign Office directly on these questions, but through the representative of the Foreign Office in Mukden.
- Q What did the Foreign Office in Mukden have to say about the matter?

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

answered as I did.
Q Was this organized action against Japan
reported to the diplomatic office?
A We contacted the Foreign Office on every
incident as far as which we ourselves were able
to find out about.
THE COMMISSIONER: I will now adjourn these
proceedings until 1:30. (End reading)
MR. DUNIGAN: Then there was a recess.
(Reading continued)
Q You told us before the noon recess that
with respect to this, organized actions against
Japan, it was reported to the central authorities
in Tokyo.
A There is a correction I would like to make
in connection with this statement.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, please do so.

- All right; go ahead.
- The army did not contact the Foreign Office directly on these questions, but through the representative of the Foreign Office in Mukden.
- Q What did the Foreign Office in Mukden have to say about the matter?

1

4

7

8

6

9

10

12

14

16

17

18

20

23.

A In the light of our experience -- of the experience we had with the Consulate-General in Mukden after the Manchurian Incident, I do not think the Consulate-General in Manchuria, the officials of the Consulate-General in Manchuria, had a very good feeling towards the army there.

Q I did not ask you, General, about after the Manchurian Incident, I am asking you about before the Manchurian Incident. Did you report back to the Consul-General in Mukden?

A I believe you misunderstood me. We, that is to say, the officers of the army found out after the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident that the officials of the Consulate-General had not been very sympathetic with the army, did not have views in sympathy with us.

Q Prior to the Manchurian Incident, had the Kwantung army made a demand for increased forces?

A We sent repeated requests to the central army authorities in Tokyo, not only for reinforcments, but also for a change in the positions of our forces -- in the dispositions of our forces.

- Q What happened to that request?
- A Very few of them were accepted.
- Q Did you state the reasons for your demand for increased forces?

A Yes, that goes without saying.
Q Now, on page three of your affidavit you
stated that "the relations between the two forces
was on the verge of explosion as if sitting on the
top of a volcano." "Vas this situation, General,
as you saw it, ever reported by you personally
to the central authorities in Tokyo?
A Not I myself, personally. The General Staff
of the Kwantung army repeatedly made such reports.
Q Was that at about the time that you made
your demand for increased forces?
A The situation gradually became more tense.
Q But your request for increased forces was
denied; isn't that true?
A Yes, that is true.
Q Was that due to the fact that Tokyo did not
believe your reports?
A I do not think so.
Q When you saw that situation, General, as
regards sitting on the top of a volcano, did you
realize that an explosion might cause an international
incident of great consequence?
A I did not believe it would give rise to an
incident of world consequence, that would have

All it did with respect to that situation

25

Yes, that goes without saying. Now, on page three of your affidavit you stated that "the relations between the two forces was on the verge of explosion as if sitting on the top of a volcano." "Yas this situation, General, as you saw it, ever reported by you personally to the central authorities in Tokyo? 8 Not I myself, personally. The General Staff of the Kwantung Army repeatedly made such reports. 10 Was that at about the time that you made 11 your demand for increased forces? 12 The situation gradually became more tense. 13 But your request for increased forces was 14 denied; isn't that true? 15 A Yes, that is true. 16 Was that due to the fact that Tokyo did not 17 believe your reports? 18 A I do not think so. 19 When you saw that situation, General, as 20 regards sitting on the top of a volcano, did you 21 realize that an explosion might cause an irternational 22 incident of great consequence? 23

I did not believe it would give rise to an

All it did with respect to that situation

incident of world consequence, that would have --

was to ask for an increase in your forces? 1 A Yes. 2 Now, on page four of your affidavit, you 3 have stated that the Chinese army was not one of 4 original objectives of operational preparations, and 5 you go on to state that you were afraid of the Soviet 6 encroachment on the Manchurian territory. At that time, General, Manchuria was a part of China, was it 8 not? 9 A Yes, it was. 10 Had the Kwantung Army ever been assigned the duty of defending Chinese territory against another power? A Yes. There did that assignment come from, if it existed? A Ever since the Russo-Japanese war it had been a natural duty for Japan -- the defense of Manchuria had been a natural duty for Japan.

Q I didn't ask you that question. I asked you if the Kwantung Army had ever been assigned the duty of defending Chinese territory against another power.

A The Kwantung Army, specifically the Kwantung Army was assigned the duty of protecting -- of covering the advance of Japanese troops into Manchuria

23 24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

should the Foviet troops invade Manchuria.

Q so that the Kwantung Army felt that it would be in a much better position in the event of war with Russia if it was already in possession of Hanchuria; is that what you mean?

A As a result of the Russo-Japanese war

Japan established the right of stationing troops
in Manchuria, and, therefore, if the Soviet troops -if Russian troops should invade Manchuria the Japanese
troops were in a convenient position.

Q But after the Russo-Japanese war the only right of Japanese troops in Manchuria was to guard the railway; wasn't that the fact?

A Legally, the duty of the Kwantung Irmy was to guard the railway line and to defend the Kwantung-leased territory. But, I believe, in view of the international situation prevailing at the time, the whole world realized that if the Foviet troops should invade Manchuria, Japan would attack those Foviet troops.

Q so that, General, you felt you had rights in Manchuria other than legal rights; is that true?

A I believe it had duties as well as rights.

Q Well, General, you have already stated that your only legal right to be in Manchuria was to

7

6

10

12

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

guard the railway.

A The guarding of the railway line and the defense of the Kwantung-leased territory.

Q So that your rights in Manchuria could not legally embrace the right to defend it against some third power?

A It was an unwritten right which Japan possessed, similar to that which various powers of the world held in third countries because of their special rights and interests in those territories.

Q Well, in considering that Japan had those rights did you take into consideration international law?

THE COMMISSIONER: The gist of the question is answered. Mr. Dunigan, is this not rather resolving itself into a debate rather then eliciting of facts?

MR. DUNIGAN: I am trying to get the General to answer the questions, your Honor.

THE COMMISSIONER: The questions are suggestive of a debate with the witness rather than an eliciting of facts.

 $\ensuremath{{\tt MR.}}$ DUNIGAN: I will try to reframe the question.

THE COMMISSIONER: Do, please.

Q Did you realize, General, that to occupy

Ę. UC

3

1

2

5

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

any portion of Manchuria to defend Japan against Russia might involve you in war with China?

THE COMMISSIONER: I do not think that question may be answered because that is not a matter of fact; that is clearly a matter of comment which you can make at an appropriate time, but this does not seem to be it.

MR. DUNIGAN: I withdraw the question.

Q You said on page four of vour affidavit, that central headquarters instructed you to execute the duty even by force. Who issued those instructions?

A The Chief of the General Staff, acting on orders from the Emperor.

Q And did those instructions come from the War Minister?

A No.

Q When were those instructions given?

A That comes under the scope of operational plans. In connection with operational plans, it was customary to take into consideration that of the provious year and not to take into consideration any of the operational plans of the years previous to that. So that when I became -- when I assumed charge of operations in 1928, I was told of the plans for the previous year and for 1928. That is as far as

I know.

Q You have answered the question, I believe.

A Not yet. I received no reports on operational plans previous to 1927. However, from 1927 plans against -- operational plans against China began to be considered. I heard that until two or three years before that, no operational plans against China had been conceived of at all. But that is something which I heard by hearsay, from others. That operational plan is this order.

Q Well, did this order sav that in the event you had to use force, that you should apply for approval of it at that time to the central authorities?

A It was not written, but that was a matter that was taken for granted. Whenever action against a third power is taken it must taken after receiving the Imperial Sanction.

Q In any event, those instructions did not give the Kwantung Army the right to use force on the slightest provocation?

A The order was not to use force against force. It was an order from the central military authorities to prepare for such an eventuality. It was to prepare; it was an order to prepare for something that might happen.

1 2

3

4

5

6

_

.

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

q On page four of your affidavit, you have stated that the Kwantung Army was ill-equipped and outnumbered, as well as encircled, and that to concentrate all your strength in Mukden to "deal a fatal blow to the military centre of Mukden;" so, is it true that your army, so pitiably equipped as you have described, was able to deal a fatal blow to the enemy?

A Because of this ill-equipment our one and only chance was to concentrate all our forces against Mukden and to -- on the theory "Nothing ventured, nothing gained." Since we had our requests for reenforcements had been rejected this was about the only course we could possibly take, and, as far as our army went, I had believed that even if an army should be outnumbered numerically, if intensive training is carried out, if that army has a strong unity, and if its strategy is well planned, it has nothing to fear. For instance, in the recent Pacific war the Japanese forces were far inferior to the American forces, but I believe that if its strategy had been well planned and well carried out, it might not have suffered the defeat it did.

Q General, on page four of your affidavit, you have stated "Under such circumstances the Kwantung

Army established an operational plan to settle whatever incident might happen" -- I should have said the bottom of page four -- and you go on to say "preparations regarding education, training, transportation."

Now, was this warlike preparation reported to the central authorities?

A Yes.

Q Was this warlike preparation known to the Japanese consuls in China?

A In principle they were not told.

Q Why not?

A Since plans of strategy require the utmost secrecy they were divulged only to a very limited number of persons.

Q Didn't you feel that your own Consul had the right to know about what was going on in Manchuria at that time?

A All the Consuls need to know is that in case an incident should break out the army was always in a state of -- would be prepared to meet any such eventuality, and how and in what manner the army would meet such an eventuality was a question of strategy which the Consuls did not need to know, and which, if divulged to them, would be one of the greatest

seurces for leakage of military secrets.

Q Isn't it a fact, General, that your failure to report to the Consul was due to the fact that he was conducting friendly negotiations with China at that moment?

A I neither forgot to mention this -- we neither -- it was neither because of forgetfulness on our part or negligence that we did not tell the Consul-General. It is a matter that should not be told.

Q Isn't your failure to report to the Consul due to the fact that the Kwantung army felt that it should decide whether or not diplomatic relations had failed or not?

A That is not so. Strategic plans were to -the question of to whom we could divulge strategic
plans is a matter decided by military law and
Gonsuls do not come under that category. If we had
divulged this matter to the Consul we would subject
to discipline under military law.

Q Well, isn't it a fact that in September of 1931, your Consul at Mukden was conducting friendly negotiations in an attempt to settle the NAKAMURA Case? That can be answered "yes" or "no."

A Yes.

1

2

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

And isn't it also a fact that while he was friendly negotiating all these war plans were going on?

That goes without saving, but that had nothing to do with the Captain NAKAMURA Case at all. Whenever, especially in the case -- in a case where an army is stationed in a third country, it is a question of strategy to always be prepared for the worst, and, in any such eventuality coming to pass, to be able to do one's best.

May I say one word. I have a feeling that the English translation -- the translation into English is giving the prosecutor a mistaken impression of my ideas. For instance, in regard to my statement that "The two forces were on the verge of an explosion as if sitting on the top of a volcano." This has nothing to do with the Captain NAKAMURA Incident. It was a situation that had lasted for quite a long time previous to any such incident.

Idid not contend, General, or state that your sitting on top of a volcano did have anything to do with the NAKAMURA Case.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think this is developing still further into debate. Please ask specific questions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Were all of these plans, warlike plans that you have just discussed, known to the Chinese? I believe that they were not known to the Chinese side. Some may have been exposed to them. Do you know if at that time the Chinese army was likewise preparing? I think that the Chinese forces were always most earnestly preparing for war. I think you can understand that fact also from what I said in regard to my visit to Wang Iche's quarters during the course of the morning session. In these operational plans that you discussed this morning, how long did it take to occupy all the Manchurian railroad towns -- a week? We thought that if we could but take Mukden one crisis at least would pass. General, you misunderstood the question. I asked you in the operational plans that you had all

THE COMMISSIONER: That question was put. We must hear the last of the witness' reply.

plans to occupy the town?

along from 1928 on down, how long did it take in those

A We did not consider occupying the whole of the towns along the railway line at all.

THE COMMISSIONER: Now counsel's next question

may be put.

Q How long did it take you to occupy all the towns after Feptember 18, 1931?

A That would depend on circumstances and it is impossible to predict -- to make predictions.

Q As a matter of fact, didn't you occupy all the towns in 48 hours?

A The only towns we occupied by force were Mukden, Yinkow and Changchun.

Q General, at the bottom of page five of your affidavit, you stated that you did not expect any reinforcements from central military authorities. Was that expectation due to the fact that Tokyo did not want any hestilities?

A Neither the central army authorities nor the Kwantung Army wanted a settlement of the outstanding questions by a resort to arms.

MR. DUNIGAN: I didn't understand that.

(Whereupon, the last answer was read by the official court reporter.)

Q Well, could the refusal of the central authorities to give you reinforcements be due to the fact that they did not think you needed any?

A That is not so.

Q Now, at the bottom of page five of your

2

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

affidavit -- strike that out, please.

General, you have testified in your affidavit that two heavy guns were mounted at Mukden as well as wall-attack material. Now, you have also stated that the only legal duty of the Kwantung Army was to guard the Manchurian Railway. Do you know whether or not, at the time when these guns were installed, the Government of Japan and the Government of China were conducting friendly negotiations?

A Yes, they were conducting peaceful negotiations.

Q Now, was the installation of these heavy guns a military secret?

A We tried to carry it out as secretly as possible, although, of course, we were not successful in keeping it a secret.

Q Who found out about it?

A I do not know. Are you referring to the Chinese side?

Q You said, General, that some people found out about it. I want to know who they were, if you know.

A I do not know who found out, but we know that somebody did find out because immediately after these two guns had been placed, the Chinese side posted gendarmes right outside this particular spot.

1

3

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

...

21

22

24

1	Q Were the installation of these heavy guns
2	reported to the central authorities in Tokyo?
3	A I believe that naturally it was reported.
4	However, I have no definite recollection on this
5	point.
6	Q If it was reported, General, who in the
7	Kwantung Army would be charged with responsibility
8	of reporting?
9	A I am responsible. I am the one that is
10	responsible for such matters.
11	Q Well, did you, in fact, report it then?
12	A I have no definite recollection on this
13	point.
14	Q What is your best recollection on it; that
15	you did or did not?
16	A What I do not remember I cannot remember no
17	matter how much effort I may make.
18	Q Who ordered the installation of these heavy
19	guns?
20	A From the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung
21	Army.
23	Q And who was that at that time?
24	A General HINHIKARI.
25	Q Did you talk to anyone about the installation
	of these guns?

	A	I talked	to all	those w	ho had to	do with
the	actu	al moving	of the	guns.	Otherwise,	they could
not	be me	ved. Bu	t I trie	ed to kee	op the num	ber limited
to t	he m	inimum.				
	Q	Was ITAG	AKI one	of those	that you	talked to
	A	It goes v	vithout	saying t	that he kno	w of this.
	Q	At the ti	lme thes	e heavy	guns were	installed
what	was	the statu	s of th	e diplor	natic relat	tions
betw	een J	apan and	China.	if you k	mow?	

A The diplomatic situation was very tense.

Q Who told you that?

A Even if nobody told me I could tell just from reading the newspapers.

Q Did you discuss the tense diplomatic situation with anyone in the Kwantung Army?

A I believe I did discuss it with my fellow officers at mess and on similar occasions, but I do not remember exactly what was said.

Q Did you discuss it with ITATAKI?

A As far as the mounting of the two heavy guns was concerned that was a matter that had been decided back in 1929 before Colonel ITAGAKI came.

Q Just a moment. General, I asked you a simple question; did you discuss the tense diplomatic situation with ITAGAKI at the time of the installation

Y. **

25

A I do not know.

of the heavy guns? Now, that can be answered very 1 easily. 2 A Since it is a -- since we are talking about 3 4 things that happened several -- more than ten years ago, I cannot say for sure, but let's say "yes." 5 6 Did you discuss it with your Consul at 7 Mukden? 8 A No. 9 Q Why not? 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Just before the witness 11 goes on; that matter has been somewhat elaborately 12 discussed a little while ago as to why the officers 13 of the Kwantung Army did not discuss matters of that 14 sort with the Consul at Mukden. Hasn't there been 15 enough for your real purpose elicited upon that? 16 MR. DUNIGAN: I think there has, your Honor. 17 I was just going to alose with this question. 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. 19 A It was not my duty to contact officials of 20 the Consulate-General. That was Colonel ITAGAKI's 21 duty. 22 Q Do you know if ITAGAKI discussed it with the Consul at Mukden?

Q Now, on page six of your affidavit, you have

testified that Colonel KAWAMOTO "was obliged to be transferred following the death of Chang Tso-lin by bombing." Why was KAWAMOTO obliged to be transferred?

A He was replaced under the suspicion that he had something to do with the bombing of Chang Tso-lin --

Q Very well.

A -- that he may have had something to do with it.

Q General ISHIHARA, on page six of your affidavit, you state "The chief of the Mukden Special Service Organ was Colonel DOHIHARA." Did you know DOHIHARA well?

A I was more or less acquainted with him.

Q What was the function of the Special Service Organ?

A The collection of information and liaison with the Chinese forces -- with the Chinese army and government officials. Its duties were very vague and cannot be defined clearly. We ourselves did not look with great favor on the existence of the Special Service Organ, but because politics in China was conducted mostly by military -- by generals and military men, the Chinese side began to desire some means of contact with the Japanese army other than

through ordinary diplomatic channels and it was in this fashion that the special service Organ came into being. It was something that just grew up naturally as a result of the special circumstances prevailing in China.

? Was one of the functions of the Special

Service Organ espionage?

A It was not one of its original duties. Such espionage was not one of its clearly defined duties.

Q Was espionage one of its duties when Colonel DOHIHARA was the chief of it?

A Its mission was to collect information in as many ways as it could. I do not know definitely exactly what methods it employed. I believe it conducted activities similar to what the attaches of the various -- military attaches of the various countries usually do.

Q Well, in any event, General, the Special Service Organ at Mukden was a part of the Kwantung Army; isn't that true?

A Yes.

Q And it came under the control of the Kwantung Army?

A Yes, it was.

Now, later did the Kwantung Army create

another special service department?

A Yes, it did.

Q What was the difference between the Special Service Organ and the Special Service Department?

A The duty of the Special Service Department was to set up plans by which Japan would guide Manchuria. This was after the establishment of Manchoukuo, and its duties were entirely different than those of the old Special Service Organ.

Q Now, in the middle of page seven in your affidavit, you state that "in view of the anti-Japanese atmosphere in China -- " Was it DOHIHARA who reported that to you or to the Kwantung Army?

MR. WARREN: If the Commissioner please --

A No, that is not so.

MR. WARREN: I am going to make an objection.
The witness already answered.

THE COMMISSIONER: Continue, please.

Q And on page seven you go on to state that "in the face of the failure of various diplomatic negotiations -- " What did you mean by the failure of various diplomatic negotiations?

A The Wanpaoshan Incident, the Captain NAKAMURA Incident, etc.

		1.
1	Q Had you been advised by anyone that the	4
2	diplomatic negotiations had failed?	
3	A The newspapers were always full of reports	
4	to that effect.	
5	Q Did you inquire of the person who was most	4
6	likely to know as to whether they had failed or not?	
7	A I heard from the staff officer of the	
8	Kwantung Army in charge of such matters.	
9	Q And who was that?	
10	A At that time it was namely Captain ARAI	
11	a staff officer by the name of Captain ARAI.	
12	Q Did you talk to ITAGAKI about that?	
13	A Yes I did, of course.	
14	Q So that the Kwantung Army took it upon itself	
15	to decide whether the negotiations had failed; is that	
16	what you are trying to tell us?	
17	A That is not so.	
18	Q Did you ask the consular officials then	
19	as to whether or not they had failed?	
20	A I did not.	
21	Q Do you know if any other person in a responsib	le
22	position in the Kwantung Army did?	
23	A I do not remember, but it was not only the	

Captain NAKAMURA Case or the Wanpaosham Incident. At

that time there were 300 unsettled incidents piling

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up in the Consular Office at Mukden; unsettled incidents between the Japanese and the Chinese.

Q Did you ask the central authorities in Tokyo as to whether or not the diplomatic negotiations had failed?

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, we would like to object to this line of questions for the reason that the Lytton Report has been introduced and covers this thing entirely. We are not listening to anything new.

A No.

MR. WARREN (Continuing): It certainly does not test the credibility of this witness.

MR. DUNIGAN: If your Honor please, the purpose of this examination: This man himself has testified that in the face of the failure of diplomatic negotiations; and I want to find out what he knows about the source of his information and I think the question is proper.

THE COMMISSIONER: I do not think the erossexamination is improper on any grounds raised to by the defense and it may continue.

MR. DUNIGAN: Did he answer the last question? THE INTERPRETER: The witness answered "I did not."

MR. DUNIGAN: "I did not."?

THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

BY MR. DUNIGAN (Continued):

Q Do you know if ITAGAKI consulted the central authorities as to the failure of the diplomatic negotiations?

A I do believe that when he went to Tokyo on business he did hear of it in the course of that business -- in that visit.

Q Did he tell you that?

A I do not remember exactly.

Q Is it not a fact, General, that all that you personally know about the failure of the diplomatic negotiations is what you and the Kwantung army talked over?

A It was something that all Japanese knew as a matter of common sense. It was not only the officers, the senior officers of the Kwantung Army, it was practically all the Japanese people who felt that.

Q In any event, General, you were not advised by those persons responsible for diplomatic negotiations if they had failed?

THE COUNTSFIONER: That question need not be answered. The witness has said, with a great deal of detail, precisely how much he knew and where he

2

1

4

5

3

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

25

24

pot his information. I don't think the matter can be taken further by your general observation.

This is a convenient time to adjourn. "e will adjourn now for fifteen minutes.

(End of reading)

THE PRESIDENT: This is a convenient time to recess also.

"e will adjourn for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

. 10

Morse & Selzer

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Dunigan.

I have already called on you but you apparently never hear me. Not you alone, but others.

MR. DUNIGAN: (Reading continued:)

Q You have testified on page seven of your affidevit that "in spite of our conciliatory attitude the whole army entertained the idea that an armed conflict was inevitable." What do you mean, General, when you say "conciliatory"?

A We believe that the Foreign Office authorities in Japan were trying to make every concession so that the outstanding questions between Japan and China could be settled by diplomatic means.

Q "hat do you mean then when you say that "the whole army entertained the idea that an armed conflict was inevitable"?

A Because the Chinese army was taking an increasingly positive attitude against the Japanese, we believed
-- we were afraid that in the end the Chinese side would
start some action against -- armed action against us.

When I said an armed conflict was inevitable, I did not mean by that we thought that the Kwantung Army would start an action. We thought that the Chinese army, which had been taking an increasingly strong and threatening attitude towards the Japanese, would, in the end, take action which would force the Japanese to act.

This may be my understanding, but I have a feeling that the prosecutor feels that when the words "armed conflict" are used he is under the impression that they mean the Japanese A.rmy would start an armed conflict. That was not my meaning. As far as the Kwantung Army was concerned, we had the previous incident concerning Colonel KAWAMOTO, in which he was punished and the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army at that time was dismissed. Therefore, the respective -- the various commanders of the Kwantung A.rmy since that time were -- took great pains regarding this matter and tried to insure that the Kwantung Army would not start any action from its side. However, if the Chinese should start some action, they always told the men that we were to reply at once.

Q Well, when you said 'the whole army' you meant the Kwantung Army, didn't you?

1

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

 A Yes.

Q And the Kwantung Army believed that an armed conflict was inevitable in the face of what you have said, that the Foreign Office was adopting a conciliatory attitude.

A Yes.

May I say a word? Diplomatic negotiations should always be conducted in a conciliatory attitude. However, as far as we military men were concerned, it is our duty to see that if the worst should come to the -- things should come to the worst, we should be prepared for any eventuality. That is our duty as military men.

Q Now, when you installed these heavy guns at Mukden, did you believe that the Kwantung Army was adopting a conciliatory attitude?

THE COMMISSIONER: That question need not be answered. That is entirely a matter of comment, not a question.

Q Did ITAGAKI believe that an armed conflict was inevitable?

A We did not believe that an armed conflict was absolutely inevitable. We never gave up hope that a settlement could be found until the last minute.

However, we believed that the possibility of an armed

conflict was extremely likely.

Q Well, General, that is not what you have testified to. You have testified in your affidavit that the whole army, including the staff and the men believed that an armed conflict was inevitable.

THE COMMISSIONER: Again the witness need not concern himself with it. The language in the translation is that they entertained the idea, and that seems to be what the witness is now repeating.

Q I will reframe the question this way: Did ITAGAKI entertain the idea that an armed conflict was inevitable?

A I believe he did entertain such an idea.

Q And did DOHIHARA entertain such an idea?

A At the time of the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident, DOHIHARA had only been at his post for a few -- for a very short time. Therefore, although he was extremely anxious about the situation, I do not believe he was as fully aware of the actual situation in Manchuria as we were; as ITAGAKI or myself. My own impression is that because DOHIHARA was very fluent in Chinese and among Japanese army officers was one that was considered an expert on Chinese affairs, his role in the Manchurian Incident was overrated by the public at large despite the fact

В

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

that he had played a fairly insignificant role, having only arrived at his post a short time before the outbreak of that incident.

Q Wasn't DOHIHARA one of the staff officers of the Kwantung Army?

A He was not a staff officer. He was head of the Special Fervice Organ.

Q Was the fact that "the whole army, its commander, staff officers, force commanders, officers and men entertained the idea that an armed conflict was inevitable ever reported to the central authorities in Tokyo? You can answer that, General, "yes" or "no," if you know.

A Yes.

Q Do you know who reported it?

A ITAGAKI and all other officers of the Kwantung army who went to Tokyo on business reported this to their superiors there.

Q And would their superiors have been those in the War Hinistry?

A I believe they made special efforts to report this to the War Minister.

Q And the War Minister at that time was MINAMI?

A Yes, it was General MINAMI.

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

2324

Do you know if this idea entertained by the whole army was every reported to the Japanese Consul? Since I was not in charge of this -- such matters -- I do now know. I believe that repeated reports to this effect were conveyed to them. And would that have been your Consul at Mukden? A Yes. What was the name of the Consul at Mukden 10 at that time? A He was HAYASHI, somebody or other. HAYASHI. 12 Kyujiro. Q General, when you say that "the whole army, 14 including its commander, staff officers, force-15 commander, officers and men entertained the idea." 16 how do you account for the enlisted men independently 17 arriving at such an idea? I do believe so. I believe that especially 19 the enlisted men who were the thes that actually 20 participated in guard duty were keenly aware of that 21 situation. Q Were there any instructions given to your 23officers and men concerning that idea? 24 Well, I will reframe the question, General, 25perhaps you can understand it better.

Were your men cautioned in their behavior by reason of their entertaining such an idea?

A Yes, they were.

Q Was the fact that the whole army entertained such an idea the basis of your previous testimony that the relations between the two forces was such as to be sitting on top of a volcano?

A Yes.

Q Did you ever hear ITAGAKI discuss this idea with any of the other officers in the Kwantung Army?

A I do not know.

Q Did he ever discuss it with you?

A Every time I met him he would continually tell me of his great anxiety in this respect and wish that something could be done about it -- and his wish that something could be done about it.

Q You have stated on page seven of your affidavit that "Colonel ITAGAKI, in particular as the senior staff-officer, had a perfect control over the headquarters' staff officers." Did he also have what you describe as "perfect control" over the enlisted men of the army?

A He could not have any control over enlisted men outside of the headquarters.

Q General, you have testified on page six as

3

1

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

to certain officers of the Kwantung Army at the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident, and you have set forth in that testimony the names of certain officers and you continued to testify on page seven of your affidavit that none of the officers named had any connection with the se-called March Incident. Do you know who was connected with the March Incident?

Rumors at the time said they were such people -- such principal figures in the War Ministry as General KOISO, General UGAKI, General Tetsuzan NAGATA and other officers in the War Ministry -- many other officers.

How do you know, General, that none of the officers you named on page six of your testimony were not connected with the incident; did they tell you?

I could tell that. I could tell that from my own experience living in Manchuria.

Was HANHIMOTO connected with the March Incident?

I do not know.

Did your experience in Manchuria, as you have just related, enable you to know the persons connected with the March Incident?

There were none in Manchuria.

I understood you to testify just a moment

ago that from your experience you could tell that 1 none of the officers mentioned on page six of your testimony were connected with the March Incident. 3 Yes.

Would that experience enable you to ascertain all of the members -- all of the persons connected with the March Incident?

A I can say that these people were not -had no connection with the March Incident because I knew them well.

You also have testified that persons you named on page six of your testimony were not members of the Sakura-kai (Cherry Association).

A They were not members. None of them were members of the Sakura-kai.

Do you know who were members of the Sakura (Cherry Association)?

A It seems there were such people as HARHIMOTO, Kingoro, CHO, Isamu, and several others. However, I really do not know. In Manchuria neither the March Incident nor the Fakura-kai ever became a topic of discussion -- even became a topic of discussion.

Q General, at the bottom of page seven, or near the bottom, you have testified "All the units did their best day and night in training and execution

2

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

of their guard duties."

A Yes.

Q Did the 2nd Battalion of the Mukden
Independent Garrison, which had the two heavy guns
mounted, also attend to their guard duties day and
night?

A Yes.

Q And was such training as you have described, conducted in the firm belief that an armed conflict was inevitable?

A Yes.

Q New, at the bottom of page seven of your affidavit, you testified that "General SHIRAKAWA came to Manchuria to appease the Army." Was SHIRAKAWA from the central authorities in Tokyo?

A Yes.

Q You have also testifed at the bottom of page seven that "Telegrams in violent tones were received" prior to SHIRAKAWA's arrival in Manchuria. Do you mean that SHIRAKAWA came to Manchuria because the army entertained the idea that an armed conflict was inevitable?

A General SHIRAKAWA came to Manchuria after the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident, and he came because the perpetrators of the October Incident

1 2

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

spread r

В

2

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

spread rumors to the effect that the Kwantung Army was carrying out -- was carrying on very disturbing activities and he came to appease the army.

Q Did SHIRAKAWA come to Manchuria because the idea that an armed conflict was inevitable had never in fact been reported to Tokyo?

A That is not so. As I have already said,

General SHIRAKAWA came to Manchuria -- was sent to

Manchuria after the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident.

There is no question of inevitability.

Q Now, at the top of page eight of your testimony, in the affidavit, you state "there were some civilians in the Fouth Manchurian Railway and other circles, who had various opinions on the Manchurian problems." Who were the civilains that you mentioned there?

A For example, one of the biggest organizations of this nature was called the Manchuria Youth Association.

THE INTERPRETER: "League" instead of "Association."

Q Was OKAWA one of the civilians that you referred to in that testimony?

A Dr. OKAWA was in Japan and he was not in Manchuria.

Q You mentioned there that there were some

spread rumors to the effect that the Kwantung Army
was carrying out -- was carrying on very disturbing
activities and he came to appease the army.

Q Did SHIRAKAWA come to Manchuria because the idea that an armed conflict was inevitable had never in fact been reported to Tokyo?

A That is not so. As I have already said,

General SHIRAKAWA came to Manchuria -- was sent to

Manchuria after the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident.

There is no question of inevitability.

Q Now, at the top of page eight of your testimony, in the affidavit, you state "there were some civilians in the Fouth Manchurian Railway and other circles, who had various opinions on the Manchurian problems." Who were the civilains that you mentioned there?

A For example, one of the biggest organizations of this nature was called the Manchuria Youth Association.

THE INTERPRETER: "League" instead of "Association."

Q Was OKAWA one of the civilians that you referred to in that testimony?

A Dr. OKAWA was in Japan and he was not in Manchuria.

Q You mentioned there that there were some

civilians in the South Menchurian Railway and other circles." What circles did you refer to in that testimony?

A By "civilians" I mean ordinary civilians.

Q I didn't ask you that, General. I asked you when you said "civilians and other circles" what you meant by the words "other circles"?

A What I meant was civilians in the South
Manchurian Railway and civilians outside of the South
Manchurian Railway -- other civilians who were not
connected with the South Manchurian Railway. For
instance, the Manchuria Youth League, which I mentioned
before, was composed of employees of the South Manchurian Railway and of civilians who were not connected
with the South Manchurian Railway.

Q Did you also mean secret societies in Japan itself?

A No, I meant only organizations which were in Manchuria.

Q When you said "civilians and other circles" did you also mean army officers in Japan?

THE COMMISSIONER: Just a moment. From the way that is put I think you misread the paragraph. He says there were civilians in the South Manchurian Railway and other circles.

civilians in the South Manchurian Railway and other circles." What circles did you refer to in that testimony?

A By "civilians" I mean ordinary civilians.

Q I didn't ask you that, General. I asked you when you said "civilians and other circles" what you meant by the words "other circles"?

A What I meant was civilians in the South

A What I meant was civilians in the South
Manchurian Railway and civilians outside of the South
Manchurian Railway -- other civilians who were not
connected with the South Manchurian Railway. For
instance, the Manchuria Youth League, which I mentioned
before, was composed of employees of the South Manchurian Railway and of civilians who were not connected
with the South Manchurian Railway.

Q Did you also mean secret societies in Japan itself?

A No, I meant only organizations which were in Manchuria.

Q When you said "civilians and other circles" did you also mean army officers in Japan?

THE COMMISSIONER: Just a moment. From the way that is put I think you misread the paragraph. He says there were civilians in the South Manchurian Railway and other circles.

MR. DUNIGAN: I understand that.

THE COMMISSIONER: He is limiting it exclusively to civilians in two categories; those in the railway and those in other circles, so the language does not --

MR. DUNIGAN: I have read it. I withdraw the question. I interpreted it to mean "other circles"; it means any other circles other than the South Manchurian Railway. I will withdraw the question if that is the Court's interpretation.

THE COMMISSIONER: It does so appear in the language and the witness expressly explained it that way too.

MR. DUNIGAN: I withdraw the question.
BY MR. DUNIGAN (Continued):

Q When you spoke of these civilians in the South Manchurian Railway, do you know whether or not those civilians entertained the idea that an armed conflict was inevitable?

A The 200,000 Japanese residents in Manchuria were those who most keenly felt the inevitablity of this armed conflict and were greatly -- were in a state of great excitement about it. For instance, concerning the Captain NAKAMURA Incident, the army took an extremely cool attitude. But the residents at

1 large; some of them went to Japan and gave lectures 2 and others conducted other activities and on the whole were in a state of great excitement. General IsHIHARA, who in the Kwantung Army 5 was in charge of this Special Service Organ? 6 Do you mean among the staff officers of the 7 Kwantung Army. 8 I meant just what the question said, General. 9 The Chief of the Poecial Pervice Organ is 10 under the direct jurisdiction of the Commander-in-Chief. 11 Colonel ITAGAKI was the one among the staff officers 12 who was in charge of this Organ. 13 General, you testify at the top of page nine, 14 you were referring to the outbreak of the Manchurian 15 Incident, that "At about 0:28 a.m. we received the 16 second telegram from the Mukden Special Service 17 Organ." Who sent that telegram? 18 It was a telegram from the Mukden Special 19 Service Organ. 20 Did you see the telegram? Q 21 I saw the decoded telegram. A 22

Did you talk to ITAGAKI about that telegram?

Was DOHIHARA directly under ITAGAKI in so far

ITAGAKI was then in Mukden and I had no

opportunity to talk to him about it.

23

Q

24

25

1 large; some of them went to Japan and gave lectures 2 and others conducted other activities and on the whole were in a state of great excitement. General ISHIHARA, who in the Kwantung Army 5 was in charge of this Special Service Organ? 6 Do you mean among the staff officers of the 7 Kwantung Army. 8 I meant just what the question said, General. 9 The Chief of the Special Service Organ is 10 under the direct jurisdiction of the Commander-in-Chief. 11 Colonel ITAGAKI was the one among the staff officers 12 who was in charge of this Organ. 13 General, you testify at the top of page nine, 14 you were referring to the outbreak of the Manchurian 15 Incident, that "At about 0:28 a.m. we received the 16 second telegram from the Mukden Special Service 17 Organ." Who sent that telegram? 18 It was a telegram from the Mukden Special 19 Service Organ. 20 Did you see the telegram? Q 21 A I saw the decoded telegram. 22 Did you talk to ITAGAKI about that telegram? Q 23 ITAGAKI was then in Mukden and I had no

opportunity to talk to him about it.

Was DOHIHARA directly under ITAGAKI in so far

as the Special Service Organ was concerned?

A As I said before, General DOHIHARA was the head of the Special Service Organ and was under the direct control of the Commander-in-Chief.

Q Wasn't he associated with ITAGAKI in the work of that Special Service Organ?

A DOHIHARA was then away on business in Tokyo, As I said before, DOHIHARA was appointed Chief of the Special Service Organ towards the end of August, and already in September was back in Tokyo on business. So he had nothing -- he did not play a very important role at the time of the outbreak of this incident.

Q Was he in Tokyo on the night when the telegram was sent?

A Yes.

Q Now, you have testified in your affidavit that the Chinese army exploded the Manchurian Railway. Did you personally make any investigation as to the extent of the damage caused in that explosion? Now that question, General, can be answered "yes" or "no." I asked you if you personally did.

A I cannot answer "yes" or "no" to the question of the extent of the damage.

O That was not the question. The question was

1 2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21 22

23

did you personally make any investigation as to the extent of the damage?

A No.

- Q Do you know if ITAGAKI personally made any investigation as to the extent of the damage?
 - A I do not know. I don't believe he had time.
- Q You answered the question, General. Do you mean, General, that ITAGAKI was too busy ordering attacks at that moment?

A He did not have the power to issue orders. However, I think he was unable to leave the office of the Special Service Organ because that was the position in which it was most convenient to contact the headquarters of the Kwantung Årmy and to maintain their contact with the headquarters.

- Q You testified this morning in answer to questions by Mr. OKAMOTO that Commander HONJO was a man of moderate temperament. Was ITAGAKI a man of moderate temperament?
 - A He is a man of a very moderate temperament.
- Q You also testified this morning in answer to questions by Mr. OKAMOTO that ITAGAKI was entitled to give orders, entitled to give instructions.
 - A I did not say so.
 - Q At that point you were discussing General

HIRATA.

HIRATA.

A

A

A

A

A

A

A

A

man?

A Yes.

5

Q If ITAGAKI did in fact issue orders to HIRATA are you prepared to deny that fact personally?

6

A He did not have the authority to issue orders.

7

Q Did you not this morning, General -- refresh your recollection -- testify that HIRATA was a brave

8

A Yes.

9

Q And that you believed he would have made the attack on his own, but --

11

A I said I believed he would have made up

13

his mind to do so.

Q And did you not also testify in that connection

15

16

17

14

that ITAGAKI was entitled to give orders?

A I believe you either heard me wrong or else
I made a misstatement. I would like to have the

18

record reread.

19

THE COMMISSIONER: My recollection is that the witness said that he did not give orders; that he could not, but that he would give something in the nature of advice or counsel; something to that effect.

21 22

MR. DUNIGAN: That is right; instructions.

23 24

THE WITNESS: That is what I said.

25

Q so that, General, upon your own testimony that

ITAGAKI might give instructions, you are not prepared to deny that he did, are you?

ITAGAKI had the authority to give guidance in accordance with the line of policy -- with the idea -- with the intention of the Commander-in-Chief, but that, because Colonel HIMATA was a very brave man, the attack was actually carried out without the necessity for any -- without the need for any guidance on the part of Colonel ITAGAKI. That is the impression I gained of the afternoon of September 19, when I heard Colonel ITAGAKI reporting to General HONJO.

THE INTERPRETER: September 19, 1931.

A (Continuing) I have a very strong impression of the report which Colonel ITAGAKI gave at that time which was to the effect that in accordance with the intentions of the Commander-in-Chief he had intended to tell the two Commanders to attack. However, before that the Commanders already came to him saying that on their own decision they had decided to attack. Things having come to this pass, they had decided to attack on their own decision.

Q You have answered the question, General.

THE COMMISSIONER: What was the latest observation of the witness?

THE INTERPRETER: The witness said the Commander-in-Chief appeared greatly pleased with this report.

Q General, my recollection of your testimony this morning is that you said ITAGAKI had no authority to give orders to HIRATA, but that he might give instructions for or on behalf of the Commander-in-Chief. Wasn't that your testimony this morning?

A Yes.

Q so that you are not prepared to say that ITAGAKI, in fact, did not issue instructions to HIRATA, are you?

A Yes. I can say so because I heard Colonel ITAGAKI's report personally in which he stated that, although he had the authority to give that guidance, it was not necessary to do that because the two Commanders' opinions coincided. ITAGAKI himself may think that he did give guidance, but, from my view, the situation was a very good one in which it was not necessary to do that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Does that conclude that particular tapic?

MR. DUNIGAN: I had one more question, your Honor.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, put that question.

L	et us clean up this topic before we adjourn.
	O So that, under your testimony, as I recalled
i	t and just recited it to you, if the Commander-in-
C	hief had not been available on the occasion in
q.	uestion to issue orders, ITAGAKI might, in fact,
h	ave issued them; is that true?
	A I believe you misunderstand what I said.
Ι	did not say anything about the presence or absence
	the Commander-in-Chief.
	Q You are not prepared to say that ITAGAKI,
or	the occasion that you were testifying to, did not
ir	fact issue orders, are you?
	A I can, because he did not issue orders.
	MR. DUNIGAN: No further questions.
	THE COMMISSIONER: You have no further
qu	estions?
	MR. DUNIGAN: That was my last question on
th	at point. (End of reading)
	And there was an adjournment.

W (Reading Continued): h 1 General ISHIHARA, on the night of September 1 2 18, 1931, were you in Port Arthur with General HONJO n 3 and Chief of Staff MIYAKE? & 4 Yes. D 5 And ITAGAKI was in Mukden; is that true? Q 6 A Yes, he was. 7 You and General HONJO had left ITAGAKI on 8 the afternoon of September 18; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 When did you next see ITAGAKI? 11 On the evening of the 19th. A During the period of time from the afternoon 13 of September 18th and the evening of September 19th 14 were you in communication with him? 15 A No. 16 So that during that period of time you have 17 no personal knowledge of his actions? 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

On the evening of the 19th ITAGAKI reported 1 to the Commander-in-Chief and I was there when he 2 made this report and there learned of what had 3 transpired during that period. 4 General, maybe you misunderstood the question. 5 I will repeat it for you. During the interval 6 from the afternoon of September 18th and the evening 7 of September 19th you had no personal knowledge of his actions? 9 A I did not actually see what he did. I heard 10 of what he did afterwards. Q And you heard that --12 That is what I meant by "to know." 13 And you heard that when you next saw him 14 on the evening of September 19th; is that correct? 15 16 Yes. 17 Now, ITAGAKI had gone to Mukden to meet 18 General TATEKAWA; is that so? 19 A Yes. 20 You testify in your affidavit that ITAGAKI 21 left General TATEKAWA without receiving the details 22 of the message. What do you mean by "message"? 23 THE MONITOR: What page is that on, sir? 24 MR. DUNIGAN: Page 11, middle of the page.

I do not know the details of the message.

25

. 4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

However, when ITAGAKI was reporting to the Commander -in the report that he made to the Commander he stated that General TATEKAWA asked him whether the younger officers were not extremely excited over the Captain NAKAMURA Incident, whereupon, ITAGAKI replied that that was not the case. Then Colonel ITAGAKI asked the General for what purpose he had come to Mukden. Whereupon, General TATEKAWA said "On hearing what you have to say about the NAKAMURA Case. I am extremely relieved. Today, I am very tired. Let us meet and talk about the situation tomorrow." And, thereupon, Colonel ITAGAKI, who had intended to have a drinking party with General TATEKAWA that night, left without even taking a sip at General TATEKAWA's own request. Therefore, we were unable to get what General TATEKAWA's specific purpose in coming to Manchuria was.

Q Well, are you now referring to your conversation with ITAGAKI on the evening of September 19?

A No, this was what I heard while Colonel ITAGAKI was reporting to Commander HONJO.

Q Then, your answer to my last question would be "yes"; is that correct?

A Which question do you mean?

Q General, when you just testified about

19 20

21

22 23

24

1	this drinking party, that was when ITAGAKI was
2	reporting to General HONJO on the evening of September
3	19th; is that what you mean?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And the reference to the drinking party
6	is solely what ITAGAKI said?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Is that all that you know about the message?
.9	A I do not know anything about the so-called
10	message.
11	Q Did you learn anything more at all other than
12	what you have said about this message?
13	A I received no reports concerning TATEKAWA's
14	purpose.
15	Q Did you ask ITAGAKI who sent General
16	TATEKAWA to Mukden?
17	A No, I didn't.
18	Q Did you learn anything from General HONJO
19 20	in connection with that?
21	A No.
22	Q You have answered the question, General.
23	If I told you that TATEKAWA came to Mukden,
24	and was sent there by General KOIsO, would I be
25	distorting the truth so far as you know?
	A That is not possible, because, at the time,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TATEKAWA was a section chief in the General Staff 1 Office. 2 You were with General HONJO in Port Arthur Q 3 when you received the first reports of the Mukden 4 Incident; is that correct? 5 A Yes. Now, according to your testimony the first 7 message you received stated that Japanese forces 8 were being attacked by five or six hundred of the 9 10 enemy. THE MONITOR: Would you give us the page 11 12 please, Mr. Dunigan. 13 MR. DUNIGAN: That is on page nine. 14 I did not write -- sav anything to that 15 effect; not in the first report. 16 Well, I will correct that. General, I will correct that to say that in the second report you received from Mukden. A Yes. After you arrived at Mukden with General HONJO did you personally investigate as to whether or not that statement was true? A No.

That answers the question, General.

Mi. DUNIGAN: If your Honor please --

Dunigan. If the witness answers shortly as requested,

. 2

4 5

5

8

10

11

9

12

14 15

13

16 17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

but thinks the short answer may give a misleading view without elaboration, he is entitled to explain. MR. DUNIGAN: I understand that, your Honor. I want this witness to have the fullest opportunity

THE COMMISSIONER: Just one moment, Mr.

to explain. THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, then. He

answered shortly. He appears to want to add something about that explanation. We will hear it to see whether it is appropriate.

MR. DUNIGAN: Very well. I want him to have that opportunity.

Reports reaching us from the front line must be, accepted as of the greatest accuracy. When a war is actually in progress it is not given to us to investigate further than the actual reports which we may receive from the front line.

Q After you and General HONJO arrived at Mukden, did you personally investigate the railway damage?

A No.

Do you know if Colonel ITAGAKI investigated Q the railway damage?

A I do not know.

	Q Do you know if General HONJO investigated
1	the railway damage?
2	A Of course he did not personally investigate
3	the damage.
4	Q Where is the town of Pei-tayin?
5	A It is not a town, it is a barracks. It
6	is the North Barracks.
7 8	Q Where is Yinkon?
9	A It is a town half-way between Mukden
10	and Dairen which faces the Gulf of Bokkai.
11	Q How far is Yinkon from Mukden, if you know?
12	A About 200 kilometers.
13	Q Is Yinkon on the South Manchurian Railway?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Now, on page After you and General HONJO
16	arrived at Mukden on September 19, you testify that
17	a meeting of the staff officers was held. The decision
18	you reached then was to mobilize the entire army; is
19	that correct?
20	A I believe you are under some misunderstanding.
21	Q General, on page nine of your affidavit
22	you have stated that a careful study of the whole
23	situation was made by the whole staff and that
24	contain desired.

certain decisions were reached. It is the middle

of page mine, at the top.

Japanese.

- 1	
1	A I do not get what portion you are quoting from.
2	There may be some mis-translation. Are you referring to
3	what went on in Port Arthur?
4	Q On the translation of your affidavit on page
5	nine you state "Then appeared the Commander of the
6	Army, after a careful study the whole staff arrived
7	at the following conclusion."
8	THE MONITOR: It is not the Japanese, sir.
9	I'm sorry. "ill you give us the translation?
10	MR. DUNIGAN: It comes after "Lieutenant
11	NODAthen appeared the Commander of the /rmy."
12	A This conference was held in Port Arthur.
13	Please look carefully at the text.
14	Q Regardless of where the conference was held,
15	General, was there a meeting of the whole staff and
16	a decision reached?
17.	A The word "decision" is a very difficult word.
18	Staff officers cannot make a decision by themselves.
19	Q Was a conclusion reached?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q Was one of those conclusions the mobilization
22	of the entire army?
23	THE MONITOR: Are you referring to the
24	Variable of the second of the

Kwantung Army? It makes an awful difference in

1	MR. DUNIGAN: The Kwantung Army.
1	A No, not mobilization.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Supposing you read the
3	particular sentence that you have in mind.
4	MR. DUNIGAN: It appears on page nine,
5	after the words "There is no time to lose, We must
7	resolutely mobilize the whole strength of our military
8	might"
9	THE MONITOR: We interpreted what you have
0	just said.
1	THE COMMISSIONER: The whole sentence
2	requires to be completed for translation.
3	MR. DUNIGAN: Oh, I didn't know that, sir.
4	" resolutely mobilize the whole strength of our
5	military might to seal the fate of the enemy within
6	the shortest possible time."
7	A Yes.
8	And was that conclusion subsequently embodied
9	in a decision?
0	A I do not quite get your meaning.
1	Q I will reframe the question and say was the
2	army subsequently mobilized?
3	MR. WARREN: Your Honor.

THE COMMISSIONER: Just a moment.

Yes, Mr. Warren.

4

6

7

5

8

10

12

14

15

16 17

18

20

22

24

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I would like to object because I think counsel is unwittingly misleading this witness. He is a military man and he talks about mobilizing strength in his affidavit, whereas the prosecutor keeps referring to the army. I am certain it is confusing.

THE COMMIFFIONER: Yes, I am conscious of the same error. Mobilizing strength merely means aggregating your greatest possible strength. Mobilization, on the other hand, is a technical term used by military people with somewhat different meanings.

MR. DUNIGAN: I certainly had no intention of misleading this witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: Of course no one assumes you are doing that.

MR. DUNIGAN: Mobilization, to me as a layman, meant just exactly the way I was framing the question to the witness. I will reframe the whole question.

THE COMMISSIONER: It appears here as being used rather in a literary than a technical military sense. So I understood it.

MR. DUNIGAN: My questions, your Honor, were framed in the language of his testimony; and, in answer to counsel, he being a military man, he should know better than I what mobilization is.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: It is not necessary 2 to continue the discussion. Please go on with your questions. BY MR. DUNIGAN (Continued): Q General ISHIHARA, what did you mean when you 6 testified that "We must mobilize the whole strength of our military might"? 8 A What I meant was, acting in accordance with previous operational plans, to concentrate our utmest 10 strength in the fighting in and around Mukden. I shall correct my statement: To concentrate and to make them 12 participate in the attack. 13

Q And when you say to concentrate your utmost strength did you mean the entire strength of the Kwantung Army?

Λ Yes.

Now, the conclusion to concentrate the entire strength of the Kwantung Army was made before you arrived at Mukden.

A All forces -- all units that were ordered to concentrate, did concentrate. That is to say, the main strength of the army did.

And that conclusion, I repeat, was made before you and General HONJO got to Mukden on September 19?

Yes, we left -- HONJO -- Commander HONJO

1.5 1

3

7

9

11

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

-	
1	left Port Arthur after arriving at this conclusion.
2	Q And this conclusion was reached when you
3	had information that only five or six hundred enemies
4	were attacking; is that correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And at that time you personally, at least,
7	had not verified whether five or six hundred enemies
8	were attacking or not?
9	A I have already answered that question once.
0	There was no necessity for me to verify that.
1	Q What did you mean when you testified " to
2	seal the fate of the enemy within the shortest possible
3	time"?
4	A I meant by that to deal a great blow to the
5	enemy in the vicinity of Mukden and to capture
6	Mukden Fortress which was the headquarters of the
7	Mukden Regime which was the nerve-centre of the
8	Mukden Regime.
9	Q Did that also include the capture of Yinkon
0	200 kilometers away?
1	A That was a small auxiliary measure.
2	Q What did you mean when you testified on
3	page 10 of your affidavit that you "should get rid

The enemy forces in Yinkon were those who

of the enemy in Yinkon"?

A

were in the best position to threaten our railway communications between Port Arthur and Mukden, and were a part of the Mukden forces.

Q Had the forces in Yinkon at that time

attacked you?

Q Did any Chinese army other than the five or six hundred that you have testified to at any time

A No.

attack you?

A No.

Q You have testified, General, about dealing a fatal blow to the enemy. Did you deal a fatal blow to the enemy?

A It may not have been a fatal blow, it was maybe a semi-fatal blow. We were the iron, but the -- The blow was iron, but the hammer was small.

Q Was this Chinese army which you have previously testified was encircling you completely defeated?

A I do not understand to what portion of my affidavit you are referring. Are you referring to the general question which I took up in my affidavit?

Q General, I will clarify that.

THE COMMISSIONER: The witness need not concern himself with particular parts of the affidavit. He did make the statement that the Chinese had an army

of some approximately 200,000 in strength, and that 200,000 was given a fatal blow. to say the army of five hundred. question. the question.

that army was encireling the Japanese positions. The question is plain. He is asked whether that army of MR. WARREN: Your Honor, may I have the prosecutor's question read back. I understand him MR. DUNIGAN: That wasn't the question. THE COMMISSIONER: That was an errlier MR. WARREN: I see. I didn't understand THE COMMISSIONER: "ill the witness now please enswer the question of counsel for the prosecution. THE "ITNESS: I also understood the prosecutor to be referring to the five or six hundred men. THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, in that case will the question of the prosecutor please be read again to the witness so that he may understand it. (Whereupon, the last question was read by the official court reporter as follows: ""as this Chinese army which you have previously testified was encircling you completely defeated?")

A By the blow which we dealt them their eneircle-

ment of our forces was broken.

2

1

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of some approximately 200,000 in strength, and that that army was encireling the Japanese positions. The question is plain. He is asked whether that army of 200,000 was given a fatal blow. MR. WARREN: Your Honor, may I have the prosecutor's question read back. I understand him to say the army of five hundred. MR. DUNIGAN: That wasn't the question. THE COMMISSIONER: That was an earlier question. MR. WARREN: I see. I didn't understand the question. THE COMMISSIONER: "ill the witness now please enswer the question of counsel for the prosecution. THE "ITNESS: I also understood the prosecutor to be referring to the five or six hundred men. THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, in that case will the question of the prosecutor please be read again to the witness so that he may understand it. (Whereupon, the last question was read by the official court reporter as follows: "Tas this Chinese army which you have previously testified was encircling you completely defeated?")

ment of our forces was broken.

By the blow which we dealt them their eneircle-

24

1	THE COMMISSIONER: The gestion was, was
2	that army defeated. What does he say to that?
3	THE WITNESS: Its power to encircle us was
4	broken. The encirclement itself was not broken,
5	because the distance was too great.
6	BY Mr. DUNIGAN (Continued):
7	Q General, was the army, the Chinese army,
8	defeated?
9	A The Chinese army in the vicinity of Mukden
10	was defeated.
11	Q How about the Chinese forces at Fenhuangcheng
12	were they defeated?
13	A Yes.
14	Q How about the Chinese forces at Antung; were
15	they defeated?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Now, this is the same Chinese army that
18	you testified on page two of your affidavit was
19	equipping itself with tanks, airplanes and other
20	modern arms and strengthening its training systems.
21	A They are the Chinese forces The forces
22	we defeated were the forces in the vicinity of Mukden,
23	Fenhuangcheng and Antung Yinkon and Antung.
14	Q And you accomplished these feats, General,
1 2	

with the poor, ill-equipped army that you have

previously testified to consisted of 10,000 men?

A Yes. Not even half of that 10,000 participated in the actual fighting in Mukden.

In the course of these battles that you have spoken of, did any of the Chinese airplanes which you mentioned on page two of your affidavit go into action against you?

A No.

Q The fact is, General, that they were all captured on the ground; isn't that so?

A Yes.

General, you have previously testified that the actions of the Kwantung Army in Manchuria was one of self-defense. Were these operations that you have just described in self-defense?

A Yes.

Q As a matter of fact, it was self-defense in pursuit; isn't that so?

A Attack is a method of defense -- is, one method of defense. Besides, we were attacked first by the enemy.

Q So that you regard defense as an offense?

THE COMMISSIONER: The witness need not answer that question. That is entirely a matter of debate and not a matter of fact.

18

15

16

17

8

11

12

19

20

22

23

24

IM. DUNIGAN: I recognized that the moment
I asked it and I meant to change it.

Q General, prior to the Mukden Incident was the taking of this airstrip that you testified yesterday morning; was that in self-defense? I am referring now, General, to the maneuvers prior to the Mukden Incident.

A Yes. If war should break out -- If fighting should break out at any one point, one cannot defend himself simply by fighting at that particular point. We must at the sametime take all measures against any possible attack from any other part.

Q This airstrip that you testified to was taken on the night of teptember 18; isn't that so?

A According to my recollection it was on the afternoon of the next day, the 19th.

- Q Why was the order issued on september 10th?
- A No order was issued on the 10th of September.
- Q Who is KAWAKAMI?
- A He was Commander of the garrison at Fuhsien.
- Q Do you know if he issued an order to take that airstrip on reptember 10th? I want to strike that question out and reframe it. Do you know if KAWAKAMI issued an order on the 10th of reptember to take the airstrip on the night of reptember 18th?

1 2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

A I do not believe such a thing could be possible.

Q General, do you recall being -- I withdraw that -- General, let me reframe the question and try to help you on your recollection. Do you recall instructions being issued on the 10th of reptember regarding that airstrip?

A I already gave explanations concerning that yesterday.

Q Well, was the maneuver, if you know, to be held on the night of September 18th? War maneuvers, rather.

A Then shall I repeat my explanation of yesterday concerning this problem?

Q Yes, go ahead.

the newly arrived Commander, General HONJO, ordered the company stationed at Fuhsien, which had hitherto had as its main duty the guarding of Fuhsien, to occupy the airfield in the event of an incident occurring and these instructions were conveyed to KAWAKAMI, Commander of that garrison -- were privately conveyed. Commander KAWAKAMI on receiving these instructions felt extremely anxious about the situation, since, by attacking this airfield, Fuhsien itself

1 2

would be left defenseless; and in order to maintain an adequate defense of Fuhsien under such circumstances, he decided to ask for the cooperation of the police and of the ex-servicemen. He thought it was necessary to ask for the cooperation of the police and of the ex-servicemen.

maneuvers under which on the 18th he and his entire company was to leave for Mukden and based -- and continued his researches on this hypothesis. After having a conference with the policemen and ex-servicemen on this basis, he sent them a notice saying that actually he would not leave for Mukden on the 18th. But by some coincident the incident actually did break out in Mukden on the 18th.

On hearing of the sudden outbreak -- on the actual outbreak of the incident, Captain KAWAKAMI was extremely-- was completely caught by surprise and his company did not carry out its new duty, which was to attack the airfield, and instead he brought his whole company in an extremely ill-equipped state to Mukden and there received a severe scolding from his Battalion Commander. That is all.

Q Why was the order for the maneuvers issued on September 10th?

A I believe that Captain KAWAKAMI based his order on what he had been thinking up to that time.

Q What, General, had he been thinking, if you know?

A As I have already said, Captain KAWAKAMI's main concern was how Fuhsien could best be defended after he and his company had left, and, in order that the policemen and ex-servicemen would be able to maintain the defense of Fuhsien, he decided to hold these maneuvers.

Q General, so far as you know, am I making a true statement when I say that the orders for the maneuvers relating to the airstrip were issued on September 10th, to be carried out on the night of September 18th?

orders were given to conduct such maneuvers on that day, but I do not know whether those orders were given on the 10th or not. As I said yesterday, Captain KAWAKAMI apologized to me very much a few days after the outbreak of the incident, saying that because of these maneuvers which he had planned purely by chance for the 10th, he had caused a great embarrassment to the army as a whole.

And KAWAKAMI was one of your company commanders?

3

5

7

6

9

11

13

14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 A He was not under my direct control. However, in so far as operations and strategy were concerned, I could contact him directly without going through his superior -- immediate superiors as he was an independent garrisen commander.

Q General, on page 10 of your affidavit you have stated that officials and people demanded a punitive measure against the Chinese troops. To help you, you were referring to "officials and people on the railway line." That is your language.

A Yes. There were many people to greet us at each station.

Q And were those civilians of the railway, or some of them persons that you testified to yesterday had various opinions on the Manchurian situation?

A It was not only the intelligentsia and the people of the thinking classes, but almost all of the residents of any particular area who all crowded to the station to greet us.

9 And were they Japanese?

A Yes.

Q And you subsequently did carry out punitive measures against the Chinese, didn't you?

A Yes.

Q Did you regard those punitive measures as

a matter of self-defense? 1 Yes. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Have you many more 3 questions you contemplate putting, Mr. Dunigan? 4 MR. DUNIGAN: I am through with that very point 5 (End of reading.) right now. 6 MR. DUNIGAN: There was an adjournment. 7 (Reading continued.) 8 Q You talked -- I withdraw that -- General, 9 you testified yesterday about certain operational 10 plans. What would be necessary to move an army 11 across the border? 12 The Imperial Sanction. 13 In your original operational plans that 14 you told us about yesterday, did those plans include 15 16 the transfer of the Korean Army into Manchuria? 17 A No. We did not have the authority to 18 make such plans.

Q You have testified on page 11 of your affidavit

21

20

19

22

23

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

a matter of self-defense? Yes. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Have you many more 3 questions you contemplate putting, Mr. Dunigan? 4 MR. DUNIGAN: I am through with that very point 5 (End of reading.) right now. 6 MR. DUNIGAN: There was an adjournment. 7 (Reading continued.) 8

You talked -- I withdraw that -- General, you testified yesterday about certain operational plans. What would be necessary to move an army across the border?

The Imperial Sanction.

In your original operational plans that you told us about yesterday, did those plans include the transfer of the Korean Army into Manchuria?

A No. We did not have the authority to make such plans.

You have testified on page 11 of your affidavit

21

20

22 23

24

at the time you were referring to General HONJO setting up headquarters at the railroad station on September 19, and, at the top of page 11 you said, among other things, "In the meanwhile he sent reports to the central military authorities, and requested the Commander-in-Chief of the Korean Army to send reinforcements (one mixed brigade) in accordance with the prearranged plan of operations." What prearranged plans did you refer to in that testimony?

A In the case of operational plans drawn up by the central military authorities, these are given separately to the Commander of the Army in Manchuria and to the Commander of the Army in Korea in so far as those plans relate to them, and, in these plans, in case fighting broke out in Manchuria, it was arranged that one, the Commander in Manchuria, was to ask for one mixed brigade from the Korean Army to be sent as speedily as possible; and every year detailed agreements between the two armies were drawn up in connection with the sending of this mixed brigade -- in connection with the transportation of this mixed brigade.

Q Did General HONJO communicate with the central authorities when he asked for reinforcements from Korea?

1

5

6

8

10

11

13 14

15

16

17

13

21

22

20

23

24

A I do not remember. I was not in charge of sending such reports.

Q Do you know if the operational plans, which you have described, which called for reinforcements from Korea had the Imperial Sanction?

A Under the plans: which I -- The plans which I drew up went only so far as the seizure of Mukden Fortress. In that period of time, there would not be enough time for reinforcements from the Korean Army to reach us, and, therefore, my plan did not contemplate any such reinforcements.

Q Well, did you have any personal knowledge, General, with respect to these particular reinforcements from Korea in so far as the Imperial Sanction is concerned?

A The Commander of the Korean Army sent troops to Manchuria without obtaining the Imperial Sanction.

Q And that was done at the request of General HONJO of the Kwantung Army; is that true?

A As is written in this affidavit, the request -- Commander HONJO made only one request and this request was made on the train between Port Arthur and Mukden.

Q So that, so far as you know, with respect to the Korean reinforcements, there was no Imperial

24

25

Sanc	tion.
	A Yes.
	Q By "yes" do you mean that there was no
Impe	rial Sanction?
	A That is so.
	Q You mentioned the Tientsin Incident on
page	14 of your affidavit. Where was DOHIHARA, if
you !	know, at the time of that incident?
	A My recollection is not clear on this point.
	Q Was DOHIHARA entrusted with the liaison work
betwe	een the Kwantung Army and the Tientsin Army?
	A He was entrusted with that duty for quite
a 101	ng period of time, but I do not know whether he
had '	that duty at this time at the time of this
inci	lent or not.
	Q Was he Chief of the Special Service Depart-
ment	at that time?
	A Yes.
. 4	Q General, in your experience as a military
man,	what is the primary function of a reconnaissance
plane	?
	A Reconnaissance.

Do they usually carry bombs?

You testified on page 15 of your affidavit

No.

1 |

3

5

7

8

11

12

10

13

14

16 17

19

18

21

24

that scout planes of 88-type were flying to reconnoitre the condition of the North-eastern army in the Chinchou area, and that these planes dropped 75 bombs in self-defense. Will you explain to this Court the dropping of bombs in self-defense, if you can?

A I myself had part in this-- participated in this flight, so I remember the details of this rather more than other things. It is for that reason that I was able to give the exact number, 75 bombs. The explanation will be somewhat detailed; is that all right?

THE COMMISSIONER: The witness is really asked to say how these were used in self-defense. He need --

THE WITNESS: Yes, they were dropped in self-defense.

THE COLMISSIONER: You can only give as much detail as is necessary to explain the matter of self-defense.

A (Continuing) According to reports received by us, enemy troops from within the great wall were concentrating in Chinchou and it looked as if they were about to advance on Mukden. On receiving these reports we decided that reconnaissance flights

must be carried out. At first, we planned only to use two 88-type planes. However, these planes had just recently come to us and the pilots were not well trained in their use and the performance of these planes compared to present-day planes was very insignificant.

Mi. DUNIGAN: If your Honor please, I think now that the witness is getting into that portion of the testimony which was stricken out when he was comparing it to modern-day planes.

THE COMMISSIONER: I do not think he is doing that, but I do think the witness perhaps is giving more detail than is necessary to explain what is meant by the use of bombs in self-defense.

MR. DUNIGAN: Yes.

A (Continuing) We also received reports to the effect that a considerable number of anti-aircraft guns had been installed in Chinchou. Therefore, it was decided to change the original plan and to send ll airplanes instead of the two originally planned; and these planes left, receiving permission from the Commander-in-Chief to bomb the headquarters and barracks of the enemy if the enemy should fire at them. At first, the planes were flying at a height of 1500 meters, but, as it was impossible to see

1 2

clearly at that height, some of the planes flew
low. Whereupon, they were fired upon by Chinese -from the Chinese side -- from the Chinese barracks.
Thereupon, the planes which had been fired upon
dropped their bombs, and the planes which followed
naturally dropped their bombs also. That is all.

Q Doesn't a reconnaissance plane usually,
General, when it is fired upon over enemy territory,
turn around and fly back?

A That may be the usual process. However,

A That may be the usual process. However, in cases where the object of the reconnaissance is not attained, it cannot be helped.

Q Isn't it a fact, General, that you started out that day loaded down with bombs, intent upon a bombing expedition?

A They were not loaded down with bombs. Some bombs were taken.

- Q. Well, isn't 75 a sizeble number of bombs?
- A Five or six bombs to each plane.
- o so that, in effect, five or six bombs to each plane and several planes it was, in effect, a bombing expedition; isn't that the fact, General?
 - A Our object was not bombing.
 - Q. But you did drop 75 bombs?

 THE COMMISSIONER: That is a waste of time.

1 2

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

15

16 17

18

20

21

23

24

```
The fact is stated in the affidavit.
            (End of reading.)
            THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-past
  3
 4 one.
                  (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)
 5
 6
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

S AFTERNOON SESSION pratt 3 The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 4 1330. 5 & MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International 6 Y e 1 d Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Dunigan. 0 n 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	
1	MR. DUNIGAN: (Reading continued):
2	General, when did the Japanese army complete
3	its occupation of Manchuria to the best of your
4	recollection?
5	A I have no exact knowledge on that point.
6	I believe it was around 1933. However, this was
7	after I had left the Kwantung Army.
8	Q Well, wasn't Japan hadn't Japan com-
9	plotely occupied Manchuria in 1931?
0	Λ No.
1	Q When was the new Manchoukue Government set
2	up?
3	A March, 1932.
4	Q At that time wasn't Manchuria completely
5	occupied by the Japanese army?
6	Λ No.
7	MR. DUNIGAN: May I have your indulgence,
8	your Honor, for just one-half minute, please.
9	Q General, you have testified on page 18 of
1	your affidavit that "the army was not much concerned
2	in the politics and economy of the new state," next
3	to the last paragraph, starting. What did you mean
4	when you used the word "politics"?
5	A Politics means politics. May I have a more
	concrete question.

25

I have used your language, General, in your 2 affidavit. 3 A I mean politics in the ordinary sense of the word. Q Would politics also include, perhaps, industrial exploitation? 7 No. 8 What was this Belf Government Guidance 9 Board that the Kwantung Army set up in Manchoukuo? 10 THE MONITOR: Is this on page 18, sir? 11 It was a Board for the local Self-Government, 12 headed by a Manchurian named Yu Chung-han. 13 Would self-government include politics? 14 Yes. This was one of the points most earnestly 15 stressed by Yu Chung-han. 16 Was this Board under the control of the 17 Kwantung Army? 18 No. 19 Were the activities -- Did the activities 20 of the Board have to be sanctioned by the Kwantung 21 Army or approved? 22 A I believe that it would naturally get in 23 touch with the Kwantung Army as far as questions

concerning the preservation of order -- peace and

order were concerned, but it was the policy of the army

not to interfere with the functions of this Board.

4

5

6

8

9

11

13

14

16 17

18

20

21

22

24

25

fere with this Board as much as possible.

Q Weren't most of the members of the Board
Japanese?

It was a policy of the Kwantung Army not to inter-

A Japanese were members of the Board. I do not think they were in the great majority, however. According to my recollection, over half the members of the Board were Manchurians. However, I cannot say this with -- However, this was outside my own field of work.

Q So that -- withdraw that -- Do you know how many members were on the Board, General?

A No.

Q Do you know KASAGI, K-A-S-A-G-I?

A Yes.

Q Well, if he said there were approximately
120 members on the Board, would that be a fair statement in your opinion?

A I could only say I do not know.

Q Can you explain, General, how this Board came to be organized so quickly after the Mukden Incident?

A Fengtien Province is a Province that has a very old culture and it is a -- it had many old

inhabitants of that territory who had lived there before the influx of Chinese after the Russo-Japanese war, and after the establishment of peace and order in that region. These people were dissatisfied with the dictatorship of the Chiang family, and a clique known as the Bunji clique, which had as its leader a certain Chinese whose name I have forgotten -- now forgotten -- was in existence. Yu Chung-han was one of the leaders of this movement. This old man with very great vigor propagated this movement and made his children join, and, in a very short time the movement gathered great strength in various areas.

Q Well, isn't it a fact that it was under the control of the Kwantung Army and that everything that it did had to be sanctioned and approved by the Kwantung Army?

A No. For instance, concerning KAFAGI, whom you just mentioned, I do not believe that he agreed with the ideas of the staff officers of the Kwantung Army or was on very good terms with them. That was because the Felf Government Guiding Board very often tried to take over the functions of local Chinese officials and because the Kwantung Army had had connections with these officials of the -- with these

1 local Chinese officials in connection with the preservation of peace and order, and the Board 3 frequently clashed with the Kwantung Army.

Did ITAGAKI have any connection with the telf Government Guidance Board?

He had almost no connection with this Board.

THE COMMISSIONER: Will you please repeat, interpreter, as far as the witness has gone, if you can. Can you translate; because it seems to me that now the witness is expanding his short answers much more lengthily then is justified by the circumstances, and I want to know what he is saying now?

THE INTERPRETER: The witness started to say, "cometimes ITAGAKI would --"

THE COMMISSIONER: If it has relation to what ITAGAKI did then he may continue, because that has direct relation to the question put to him.

A (Continuing) On several occasions ITAGAKI would tell me of his dissatisfaction at the attempt of the relf-Government Guiding Board to interfere with the functions of Tsang Shih-yi.

> MR. DUNIGAN: "hat was that last? THE INTERPRETER: T-s-a-n-g +-h-i-h-y-i. THE COMMISSIONER: Your next question?

2

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

1 Wasn't ITAGAKI the political advisor to the Q 2 Board? 3 I do not think so. 4 Well, was ITAGAKI connected with Yu Chunghan? 6 No. 7 General, do you know a Mr. Norton? Do you 8 remember having a talk with him on the 25th of April, 9 1946? This conversation took place at the Tokyo 10 Teishin Hospital. 11 What is he, a newspaper correspondent? I 12 do not remember the name. 13 Q Well, he was the man that asked you a lot 14 of questions and you made answer to him. There was 15 an interpreter there. 16 I have met several people connected with 17 the Court and also several newspaper correspondents, 18 but I am very sorry I am unable to remember foreign 19 names very well; and which person had what name. 20 Q Do you remember being questioned along 21 about that time about the Board and ITAGAKI? 22 A No. 23 Do you remember being asked this question 24

"Q Do you know anything about the

and making this answer?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

Self Government Guidance Board in Manchuria? "A Yes, I have heard of it."

Next question:

"Q When was it organized?

"A It was organized either in September or October, 1931.

"Q What were the names of the members of this organization?

"A NAKANO, Koitsu and KAFAGI, Ryonei. They were the leaders of this Organization. NAKANO is now dead. The last I heard of KARAGI is that he was in Japan. However, I do not know where he is at present. I also knew Mr. Yu Chung-han, who headed this Organization."

Next question:

"What connection did the Board have with the Kwantung Army?

"A It came under the command of the Kwantung .rmy.

"Q Didn't the army direct Yu Chung-han, who was the head of the Board?

"A Anything the Board did had to be sanctioned by the army. However, ITAGAKI, Feishiro, then political advisor, should be able to give you a complete report.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

"Q Are you a frie d of ITAGAKI? "A Yes, a very good friend. "Q How much connection did ITAGAKI have with Yu Chung-han? "A ITAGAKI was not connected with Yu Chung-han until after the incident. "Q Why? Yu Chung-han had been ill for a long time and did not take control of this Board until after the incident. "Q The Mukden Incident occurred on reptember 18, 1931. Please explain to me how such an organization could originate so soon after this incident? "A An organization of this type had been planned and studied by NAKANO and KARAGI sometime previous to the incident. They organized the Yu-Ho-Kai and the Manchu and the Seinen Renmei. The members of these two organizations were made up mostly of Japanese. These organizations were in operation as early as 1928. After the Manchurian Incident, these two organizations were amalgamated to form the Felf Government Guidance Board." Do you remember, General, being asked those

A I do remember that there was such an occasion.

24 questions and making those answers?

1	However, is what you have just read a stemographic
	record of that meeting?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: That is not the question.
	You are asked: Do you remember such a conversation?
-	Q That conversation, General, took place at
	Tokyo Teishin Hospital.
	A Yes, there was such a conversation.
	MR. DUNIGAN: With that, your Honor, I think
	the prosecution will conclude its cross-examination.
	THE CGMMISSIONER: No redirect from the
	defense?
	MA. MATTICE: No redirect, your Honor.
	THE COMMISSIONER: That then concludes
	the taking of this evidence.
	A report will be compiled for the information
	of the Tribunal, to be presented on such occasion
	as may be arranged with the Tribunal.
	(Whereupon, at 1158, the proceed-
	ing was concluded.)

However, is what you have just read a stenographic 1 record of that meeting? 2 THE COMMISSIONER: That is not the question. 3 You are asked: Do you remember such a conversation? That conversation, General, took place at 5 Tokyo Teishin Hospital. Yes, there was such a conversation. 7 MR. DUNIGAN: With that, your Honor, I think 8 the prosecution will conclude its cross-examination. 9 THE CGIMISSIONER: No redirect from the 10 defense? 11 Ma. MATTICE: No redirect, your Honor. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: That then concludes 13 the taking of this evidence. 14 A report will be compiled for the information 15 16 of the Tribunal, to be presented on such occasion 17 as may be arranged with the Tribunal. 18 (Whereupon, at 1158, the proceed-19 ing was concluded.)

20

21

23

24

MR. MATTICE: That concludes the part 1 with which we are concerned. Mr. Furness will now 2 proceed. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness. 4 MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, our first 5 witness is Tozo SHIMIZU and I request that he be 6 called to the witness stand. This witness has already been sworn. 8 TOZO S H I M I Z U, called as a witness on behalf 9 of the defense, having been previously sworn, 10 resumed the stand and testified through Japanese 11 interpreters as follows: 12 THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former 13 oath. 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. FURNESS: 16 17 May the witness be shown defense document No. 1352. 18 19 0 Is that your sworn affidavit? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Are the facts therein stated to be true? 22 A Yes. 23 MR. FURNESS: Defense offers in evidence 24 defense document No. 1352. 25 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sutton.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to and moves to strike out sections IV, V, VI, VII, VIII and IX of the affidavit, being practically all of pages 2, 3 and 4 of the af-'idavit. These sections purport to give the substance of interviews between Wang Ching-wei and Premier HIRANUMA, War Minister ITAGAKI, Navy Minister YONAI, Finance Minister ISHTWATA, Foreign Minister ARITA and Prince KONOYE held in Tokyo in June, 1939, at which the witness acted as interpreter. What purport to be the official documents setting out the gist of each of these conversations appear on the present order of proof immediately following the affidavit of this witness as defense documents numbers 1403, 1399, 1398 and 1442. The witness states in section X of the affidavit at the bottom of page 4 that the main points of these talks were written down by him and sent to the Foreign Office and that the records should be in the custody of the Foreign Office.

It is submitted that the official reports of these interviews are the best evidence and since they are presumably to be offered in evidence the witness should not be allowed to testify as to the contents of these documents, the result of which would be to put the same testimony in evidence twice. We

20

19

21

22

23

24

22,256

DIRECT

SHIMIZU

are prepared, if the Tribunal considers it advisable, to point out the specific objection to each of the sections IV - IX inclusive.

There is one matter I especially wish to draw to the attention of the Tribunal. That is a further objection to section V. It refers on page 3 to two documents, one entitled, "Concrete Measures for Saving the Situation," and the other entitled, "Desire Towards Japan Concerning the Realization of the Principle of Respecting Chinese Sovereignty."

Neither of these documents are produced nor is any explanation offered for the failure to produce them.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

IR. FURNESS: If the Court please, with regard to the interviews that this man had, even if they are recorded in official documents, it does not seem to me that it disqualifies him in any way as a witness.

THE PRESIDENT: No, the fact that the conversations were recorded does not prevent his stating what the conversations were. The records, of course, would tend to confirm what he says if what he says is in conformity with what is recorded. The rule excluding proof of the contents of decuments otherwise than by producing the documents themselves has no application

1 2

7 8

DIRECT

ing but, of course, the Members of the Tribunal may think that the only thing that matters to them will be the written record. But why, Major Furness, do you want us to get his version of the conversation followed by the record of the conversation? Does it help to have it twice? We want to save time here and if we have the record of the conversation, why get this man's evidence as to what the conversation was in addition?

4.

ing but, of course, the Members of the Tribunal may think that the only thing that matters to them will be the written record. But why, Major Furness, do you want us to get his version of the conversation followed by the record of the conversation? Does it help to have it twice? We want to save time here and if we have the record of the conversation, why get this man's evidence as to what the conversation was in addition?

4.

G MR. FURNESS: There are two reasons, I r 1 think, your Honor. One, the statement in the 2 n affidavit plus the official statement makes a b more complete picture. The affidavit gives the r 4 circumstances under which the interviews were held. Finally, this witness can be subjected to cross-examination on such interviews as he appears f on the stand. THE PRESIDENT: Couldn't he say that on

a certain day, at a certain place an interview took place between certain people? Here is the record of the interview. Then, reed the record. Our whole purpose is to save time.

MR. FURNESS: I would like to say, with respect to conversations of Navy Minister YONAI and Minister without Portfolio, Prince KONOYE, we plan to have the witness identify the Foreign Office statements and introduce them into evidence on his qualifying them.

THE PRESIDENT: Of course, what I say applies only to records of conversations. If there are other documents, they must be proved or their absence accounted for.

MR. FURNESS: I understand that, your Honor, and if they are not in the other documents which will

е & L

> 1 8 e r 9

> > 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

be submitted later, we will either produce them 1 or not ask that part of his evidence be received. 2 THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court 3 overrules the objection and adrits the document. The objection overruled is confined, of 5 course, to the record of the conversation. 6 MR. FURNESS: You understand, of course, 7 with respect to the other, if we can account for 8 non-production of originals, or if we have the 9 right to produce secondary evidence, we will 10 later tender it. 11 THE PRESIDENT: The document is admitted 12 on the usual terms. 13 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 14 No. 1352 will receive exhibit No. 2585. 15 (Whereupon, the document above 16 referred to was marked defense exhibit 17 No. 2585 and received in evidence.) 18 I'R. FURNESS: I will read exhibit No. 19 2585, omitting the formal parts: 20

21

22

23

24

"I was born on August 1, 1893 at my permanent domicile, No. 813, Otsuka, Kokufu" -- I will omit the rest of that paragraph.

"II. In 1934, I left for my post in Pengling as interpreter, first-class, of the Japanese Embassy in China; and in the summer of 1936 moved to Nanking following the embassy's transfer to Nanking, and thereafter remained at that post until the termination of the war in 1945.

"III. During my service at the embassy in Nanking, a conference concerning adjustment in Sino-Japanese diplomatic relations was held between Ambassador KAWAGOE and the Chinese Government about the autumn of 1936 (immediately before the Hsian Incident) and I attended the conference as an interpreter.

"Concerning the above conference for adjusting Sino-Japanese diplomatic relations, Ambassador kawagoe had interviews numbering to several dozens of times with Chang Chun, the Chinese Minister of Foreign Affairs, but in that period he also had a talk with Chiang Kai-shek about the end of November, 1936. The talk between Ambassador KAWAGOE and Chiang Kai-shek continued for more than an hour. At that time, Ambassador KAWAGOE expressed his desire to see adjustment in Sino-Japanese diplomatic relations by

all means as the continuation of the conflict between Japan and China was an extremely trying matter for the two countries. Chiang Kai-shek answered that he felt the same way and wished to see adjustment in Sino-Japanese diplomatic relations by all means, but since he had expressed his opinion in detail to Chang Chun, his Foreign Minister, he wished to have him (TN: KAWAGOE) confer with the Minister. In the conference between Mr. KAWAGOE and Chang Chun, a proposal for adjusting Sino-Japanese diplomatic relations was submitted by the Japanese side. Its important points were as follows:

- "1. Sino-Japanese economic cooperation;
- "2. Sino-Japanese anti-Comintern agreement;
- "3. To designate North China a special area in view of its relationship with Japan.

"Chang Chun's opinion was that he was, of course, in agreement with Sino-Japanese economic cooperation but wished this to be based on the principle of reciprocity and equality all the way. He was also very much in agreement with the Sino-Japanese anti-Comintern agreement but here too wanted to conclude an agreement only so far as to not infringe upon Chinese sovereignty. As to making North China a special area with respect to its relation with Japan,

 he could recognize a special economic relation but would not be able to recognize any special administrative relation.

"During this period when mutual negotiations took place in this manner, the Pailingmiao and the Hsian Incident broke out, consequently the conference concerning the adjustment of Sino-Japanese diplomatic relations was forced to be suspended entirely.

"IV. As I received a telegram ordering me to return home from the Foreign Office in early June 1939, I returned to Tokyo by plane. The purpose of this was to interpret at the conferences between Wang Ching-wei, who was then in Tokyo, and the authorities of the various circles.

"When Mr. Wang Ching-wei first had an interview with Premier HIRANUMA on June 10, I interpreted on the occasion. Premier HIRANUMA stated his opinion that the trouble between Japan and China was indeed alarming and that he wanted to take measures to gain peace by some means or another. Mr. Wang replied, 'I fully agree with you, and it is to be desired that steps be taken to settle this matter of regaining peace. As for the methods, three measures are conceivable. The first plan would have Japan cutting herself off completely from the Nationalist Government

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and taking measures to regain peace by dealing with the intelligent people out of office. The second plan would be to negotiate directly with Chiang Kaishek. The third plan would be to take measures to regain peace based on dealing with all people of every quarter who want peace between Japan and China, irregardless of whether they are members of the Nationalist Government or non-government men, and irrespective of party or group. I think there are these above three possibilities. If you are to take the first measure, although I would not be able to take a public stand, I would like to render as much of my assistance as possible behind the scenes. If Japan should adopt the second method and intends to negotiate directly with Chiang Kai-shek to regain peace, as a member of the Nationalist party myself, I would not hesitate to mediate between Japan and him. And if the third method is to be adopted, I should like to directly embark into the peace movement myself and become its central figure, but which way is Japan intending to take?' Premier HIRANUMA answered, 'This is a problem of China. is no way except to take measures which China feels to be most proper. It is very excellent, however, that a person like yourself would embark positively on a peace movement as its central figure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and taking measures to regain peace by dealing with the intelligent people out of office. The second plan would be to negotiate directly with Chiang Kai-The third plan would be to take measures to regain peace based on dealing with all people of every quarter who want peace between Japan and China, irregardless of whether they are members of the Nationalist Government or non-government men, and irrespective of party or group. I think there are these above three possibilities. If you are to take the first measure, although I would not be able to take a public stand, I would like to render as much of my assistance as possible behind the scenes. If Japan should adopt the second method and intends to negotiate directly with Chiang Kai-shek to regain peace, as a member of the Nationalist party myself, I would not hesitate to mediate between Japan and him. And if the third method is to be adopted, I should like to directly embark into the peace movement myself and become its central figure, but which way is Japan intending to take?' Premier HIRANUMA answered, 'This is a problem of China. is no way except to take measures which China feels to be most proper. It is very excellent, however, that a person like yourself would embark positively on a peace movement as its central figure.

25 -

"On this above occasion, Mr. Wang repeatedly emphasized his opinion that since the present Nationalist Government is being moved by the influence of the Chinese Communist Party, it has lost its authority as a central government. Therefore, he wished to establish a powerful government under his leadership to take measures to regain peace with Japan. He wanted to go ahead with this, however, with the understanding that the government which they were about to newly establish would be the return of the government with the present Nationalist Party as its leader to the former seat of the government and that we would not be establishing any new political regime quite different from the former government.

"V. Following this, Mr. Wang Ching-wei had an interview with Minister of War ITAGAKI on the 11th of June, and I interpreted again. On this above occasion Minister of War ITAGAKI gave various explanations from the standpoint of the Japanese Army, but the important point of his explanation was that Japan took military action against China, not with any aggressive intentions, but only for the purpose of destroying the anti-Japanese Army which was organized on collaboration of the Nationalist and Communist Parties; that she never regarded the Chinese people as enemies and that the

important point was to oppose communism.

1 2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

"Mr. Wang Ching-wei expressed that he also took a stand of opposing communism, but as to methods for its settlement, he thought keeping alive the spirit of the Nationalist Party's doctrine with a Nationalist Party based on the principles of Sun Wen as leader was the way, and forming a strong Central Government was what should be striven for to destroy the Communist's power. He further stated that he was determined to personally embark upon a move for its settlement.

"Following this, Mr. Wang again met Minister of War ITAGAKI and, on this occasion also, I again interpreted. In this talk, they exchanged their opinions concerning 'concrete measures for saving the situation' which was previously handed to Mr. ITAGAKI by Mr. Wang. The proceedings concerning the establishment of the Central Government was primarily in this document."

Am I correct, your Honor, in my understanding that you do not wish me to read further about that particular document?

THE PRESIDENT: If you are relying on the contents of that document, you must produce it or give convincing reasons for its absence.

MR. FURNESS: We will not rely on it until the document is produced or satisfactory secondary evidence is produced.

(Reading continued)

"The contents had: All the People's Representatives Temporary Conference to be held with Mr.

Wang to be Chief of the Nationalist Party; the assembly, the resolution for the return of the Central Government to the former seat of the government to be made; the sun-in-the-blue-sky flag to be used as the national flag; the government to include men of all parties and groups, etc. Although Mr. Wang showed great eagerness concerning the matters on establishing the Central Government, Mr. ITAGAKI asked various questions and took a standpoint of cross-examining Mr. Wang to test the feasibility of realizing his desires."

THE PRESIDENT: The contents of any document will be disregarded until the rule is complied with.

MR. FURNESS: I understand that, your Honor. (Reading continued)

"Finally Mr. ITAGAKI said that Japan does not object to the above concrete measures, but with regard to the question of the national flag, he said if the sun-in-the-blue-sky flag, which was the same as that of anti-Japanese Government, was used by the new

government at this time when the battle was still in progress, it would cause difficulty in distinguishing one from the other, so he requested that steps be taken to devise a mean to distinguish them. And Mr. Wang answered that he would properly consider the matter and would like to devise a method to distinguish them. After this, the Central Government decided to add a triangular yellow cloth, on which the phrase 'Peace and anti-Communism' was written, to the top of the sun-in-the-blue-sky flag.

"Thus, Mr. ITAGAKI stated his hearty approval of Mr. Wang's plan. Still more, Mr. Wang, during his stay in Tokyo, wrote a document entitled 'Desire towards Japan Concerning the Realization of the Principle of Respecting Chinese Sovereignty' and offered it to the War Ministry.

"Its content called Japan's attention to the independence and autonomy of Chinese domestic administration. Its important points were as follows:"

THE PRESIDENT: Omit the alleged contents.

MR. FURNESS: I skip to paragraph No. six,

Roman, on page 4:

"On June 12th Mr. Wang had an interview with Navy Minister YONAI and, at this time also, I acted as interpreter. Mr. Wang said to Navy Minister YONAI that he came to him to ask for Japan's view as he had resolved to embark on a peace and anti-communism movement, and he expressed his wishes.

"Navy Minister YONAI paid his respects to
Mr. Wang and answered that Japan, respecting China's
position, wished Mr. Wang to exercise his ability freely
and had no intention to interfere in his activity, and
that Japan hoped to make mutual efforts for the common
object.

"VII. On June 13th Mr. Wang had an interview with Finance Minister ISHIWATA and again I was the interpreter. The contents of this conversation was almost the same as that between Mr. Wang and Navy Minister YONAI, but Finance Minister ISHIWATA particularly emphasized that Japan had no intention of monopolizing the economy of China.

"VIII. On the 14th of the same month, when Mr. Wang had an interview with Foreign Minister ARITA, I acted as interpreter. The contents of this conversation was almost the same as his conversation with Navy Minister YONAI.

"IX. On the 14th of the same month, during

Mr. Wang's interview with Prince KONOE, Fumimaro, I was the interpreter. Mr. Wang told Prince KONOE that he agreed with the three principles of the KONOE statement and that he embarked on a peace movement. He expressed his idea that if China could return to Sun Wen's principles, Japan and China would be able to cooperate, and that one of the two reasons for the strained Sino-Japanese relation is the interference on the part of the Communist Party towards Sino-Japanese cooperation and the other is the fact that the Chinese public does not understand Japan's intention. He said that he felt very hopeful since coming to Tokyo and talking to Prime Minister HIRANUMA who talked about establishing the Sino-Japanese relation on moral principles, Minister of Navy YONAI who said that Japan does not intend to interfere with the internal administration of China, and Minister of Finance ISHIWATA who stressed on the fact that Japan has no intention to monopolize the economy of China. Prince KONOE told him, Mr. Wang said, that the Prince understands Sun Wen very well and is extremely pleased that he (Mr. Wang) has undertaken courageously to do something for China and Japan as Sun Wen wanted to do. Mr. Wang said that he wanted to establish a new government in order to settle the Sino-Japanese Incident and

9

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

25

calling it the Nationalist Government, he wished to use the sun-in-the-blue-sky flag as the national flag. This is not to give to the public such an impression that China has been defeated by Japan and to prove that China is not under Japan's suppression. Mr. Vang said that he intended to gather the members of the Nationalist Party, tell them the true intention of Japan and have them return to the true spirit of the three principles of democracy. Then calling a national convention of the Nationalist Party and conducting a central political conference, he wished to embark upon establishing a central government. Prince KONOE understood him very well and encouraged him.

"X. The contents of Mr. Wang Ching-wei's talks with the key men of the Japanese Government and other circles during his stay in Tokyo, on every occasion of which I acted as interpreter, are as stated above. I jotted down the main points of these talks and sent them to the Foreign Office. I think these records should be in the custody of the Foreign Office.

"XI. Toward the latter part of June, Mr. Wang left Japan for Tientsin and met with Mr. Wang Ko-min to inform him of Japan's real intention. Then he went to Nanking to convey the same to Mr. Liang Hung-chih.

1 2

SHIMIZU

1

5

6

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

After his inspection tour of Kantang, in August he held an extraordinary national convention of the Nationalist Party At Shanghai and exerted himself in establishing a new government. Finally he succeeded in his efforts on March 30, 1940. No Japanese attended these conferences or meetings and they were carried out according to what was planned by Mr. Wang himself. I accompanied him from Tokyo to Tientsin, then to Shanghai.

"XII. Since then the establishment of a new government proceeded smoothly entirely on China's own accord and without anything to do with the Japanese Government. That is to say, in the latter part of January 1940. Mr. Wang conferred with the leading officials of the Peiping Provisional Government and the Nanking Renovation Government at Tsingtao and asked their understanding in regard to the establishment of a central government. Subsequently, he held a central political conference in the latter part of March, the same year and defined the political program and organization of the Central Government and decided upon personnel to fill the key positions. The leading men of the Nationalist Party and the delegates of other parties participated in this conference and no Japanese took a part in any meetings.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"The important persons among the attendance at the above conference as I remember them were: 2

"Wang Ching-wei, Chou Fuo-hai, Chu Min-i, Pai-sheng, Chen Kung-po and Mei Ssu-ping of the Nationalist Party; Wang Ko-min of the Peking Provisional Government; Liang Hung-chih of the Nanking Renovation Government; Chu Ching-lai of the National Socialist Party; and Chao Yu-mu of the Chinese Youth Party.

"In consequence of the above conference, the Central Government was established on March 30th at Nanking. As to its organization, in accordance with Sun Wen's 'five rights constitutional government,' namely, Executive, Legislative, Judicial, Supervisory, and Examination. The chief of each board was installed respectively.

"Mr. Wang Ching-wei assumed the position of Chief of the Executive Board and the position of President of the Government remained vacant.

"All facilities of various organs of the government were prepared by China and protected by either the Chinese police or military police. No Japanese was appointed as official in any part of the government."

Signed and sworn to by SHIMIZU, Tozo.

25

24

MR. FURNESS: May the witness be shown defense document No. 1398?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

BY MR. FURNESS (continued):

O Mr. SHIMIZU, you mention in paragraph six of your affidavit, page 4 of the English translation, the interview between Navy Minister YONAI and Wang Ching-Wei at which you acted as interpreter. Will you look at defense document No. 1398 which is entitled "Gist of Conversation between Navy Minister YONAI and Wang," of June 12, 1939, and tell us whether it is an official document of the Japanese Foreign Office.

A I could not hear it very well. May I have it repeated?

Will you look at defense document No. 1398 which is entitled "Gist of Conversation between Navy Minister YONAI and Wang," of June 12, 1939, official document of the Japanese Foreign Office, and tell us whether this document correctly reports the conversation as interpreted by you.

A Yes, I understand. I will look at this document for a while.

There is no mistake; it is so.

	MR. FURNESS: I now offer in evidence
1	defense document No. 1398.
2	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual
3	terms.
4	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document
5	No. 1398 will receive exhibit No. 2586.
6	
7	(Whereupon, the document above
8	referred to was marked defense exhibit
9	No. 2586 and received in evidence.)
10	THE PRESIDENT: I don't suppose you are
11	going to read that.
12	MR. FURNESS: I did not hear you, sir.
13	(Whereupon, the President's
14	statement was read by the official
15	court reporter.)
16	MR. FURNESS: I will leave it as described
17	in the affidevit. The Court can read it if there is
18	any discrepancy.
19	THE PRESIDENT: If there is any substantial
20	discrepancy, the prosecution will point it out, no
21	doubt.
22	IR. FURNESS: I ask that the witness be
23	shown defense document No. 1442.
24	(Whereupon, a document was handed
25	to the witness.)

THE WITNESS: Yes, I have looked it over.
BY MR. FURNESS (continued):

Q Mr. SHIMIZU, you mention in paragraph nine of your affidavit, page 4 of the English translation, the interview between Prince KONOYE and Wang Ching-Wei, at which you acted as interpreter. Will you tell us whether defense document No. 1442 which is entitled "Gist of Conversation between Prince KONOYE, Minister without Portfolio, and Wang," of June 14, 1939, is an official document of the Japanese Foreign Office, and tell us whether this document correctly reports the conversation as interpreted by you.

A Yes, it is reported accurately.

|

1 2

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2588 and received in evidence.)

MR. FURNESS: I will read exhibit No.

2588, but will not read the parts omitted from the affidavit of the witness, 2585, since it will be duplication. I shall commence at the words "We have been deeply moved by Japan's sincere intention --"

That is the first of the body, omitting the formal part.

"We have been deeply moved by Japan's sincere intention to respect the sovereignty of China which we experienced and was inspired through personal contacts with the Japanese authorities during our visit to Japan.

"At present, utmost effort is being made
to establish a central government, especially,
laying emphasis upon personnel and resourcefulness.
However, it is to our regret that mere abstract
explanation of the Japan's sincere intention has
not been able to clear the Chinese people of their
suspicious towards Japan. Therefore, we feel keenly
that it is essential at the present time to take
further steps to explain to them the sincerity of
Japan in a more concrete way.

1 "The following description which is divided into three articles, that is, political, military and economic affairs is conditions, for which we wish to obtain beforehand the Japanese understanding and guarantees in order to attain the above-mentioned object. It is a matter of course that the measures in detail should be decided upon in the establishment of a central government between the Governments of both States Governments after deliberate research on the basis of the Principle of Readjustment of Sino-Japanese Relation and the spirit involved in

"A. Internal Administration

"The independence of China's internal administration is a basis principle which has been frequently declared by Japan. Important points are introduced below with a view to further showing Japan's good-will to the Chinese people in accordance with facts and calling their attentions. It is earnestly desired that Japan will faithfully observe and practice them.

"1. We wish China, upon her honor, execute a rigid control of anti-Japanese thoughts and speeches and carrying out an education friendly to Japanese and as for Japan it is hoped that Japan will correct

2 3 4

5 6

10

11 12

13

it.

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

her thought or attitude contemptuous and aggressive towards China and put a friendly Chinese education into effect.

T.

"2. In order to prevent the Chinese people from having doubts that Japan may have an intention of intervening in China's internal administration, the Central Government of China will avoid stationing a political adviser or a post having a like name. It is desirable that all political matters which require negotiation with Japan will be managed by negotiations with the Japanese Ambassador in China following due proceedings.

"3. It is to be hoped that no Japanese will be appointed as government officials in those Central Government's Yuans and ministries which are concerned in administration, with a view of keeping Japan from intervening in China's internal administration or leaving any doubts about it.

"A Japanese technical expert will be appointed as a technical adviser in each ministry relating to technique of physical science, but his functions will be limited to the field of technique. He can not, as a principle, take part in general administration. Therefore, though he can attend a conference concerning technique in the ministry to which he belongs, through the notices of the head concerned, he will not be present at a general conference concerning administration. However, if it is necessary

22,281

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

24

to call a technical advisor to the conference, permission of the superior authorities is required.

"The appointment and service regulations concerning a technical advisor will be promulgated in the Central Government.

city government, neither political advisor nor post having simular title will be stationed with the light of the above mentioned intention. Negotiations with the Japanese Army and general liaison matters in a district before the withdrawal of the Japanese Army, those foreign commissioners who are temporarily appointed in the provincial government or the Special City Government shall take charge of the matters.

"If the Japanese Army requires the co-operation of a provincial or a city government, it is to be hoped that Japan will take diplomatic proceedings, and not through imperative writings or oral notices.

"In each Board attached to a provincial government or each office attached to a special city government, neither political advisor nor post having similar title will be established for administrative matters. However, if required to appoint a technical advisor in the light of necessity

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

22

24

25

of technique of physical science, measures of the Central Government will be followed.

"5. The district government or the ordinary city government is an administrative organ which has direct contact with the people; therefore, a Japanese should not be employed as any government official regardless of the position to be held, to prevent the Chinese people from entertaining any doubts or misgivings towards Japan.

"A full service secretary may be stationed to take charge of matters concerning liaison affairs of a district government. Then the co-operation of a district or a city government is required before the withdrawal of the Japanese Army, it is to be hoped that Japan will resort to diplomatic formalities and not through written orders or oral notices. It is desirable that the Pacification Unit be immediately withdrawn from all districts except those where military operations are now taking place.

"6. With a view of maintaining the prestige of each local government and to avoid the Chinese from cultivating ill-feeling towards Japan, it is desirable that the Japanese Army before withdrawal designate foreign commissioners to take full charge for negotiating with a government or province, city

or district, and hold them responsible thereafter.

"7. "ith a view of showing independence of

the national finance it is hoped that the Japanese organs or individual in China will not occupy or operate directly or indirectly any kind of customs

and taxation organs of China.

"It is hoped that taxation and administration, which has been brought into a peculiar condition through military necessity -- for instance, the salt tax -- be restored to normalcy as soon as possible, and that Japanese organs or individuals in China will not hinder or disturb its operation.

"8. It is hoped that Japan will prevent the Japanese soldiers and civilians (lower ranks and class) in China from taking an action or an attitude contemptuous towards the Chinese. Such trifling matters prove a serious hindrance to the friendship between the two nations. It is especially hoped that special attention be given to this point before the withdrawal of the Japanese Army."

I skip now to the last paragraph on page 7:

"5. Although it is hoped that, if any Chinese force returns to the New Central Government after the return of the Central Government to Nanking, a part of the Japanese army will evacuate by mutual

1 2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

agreement and their former occupied area will be
turned over to the said returned force; otherwise
consideration shall be given to station them in other
area."

Skiping now to paragraph numbered 1 under

"Economic Affairs," on page 8:

"1. It is hoped that the public or private

factories, mines and shops of China occupied or confiscated by the Japanese institutions or individuals in China in the period of military administration (TN. (military period) in the original text must be a mistake of) may quickly be returned to China and, for this purpose, a special rule may be laid down for proper means of joint enterprise."

THE PRESIDENT: Have you finished with that document?

HR. FURNESS: That is all I will read.

THE PRESIDENT: "e will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

agreement and their former occupied area will be
turned over to the said returned force; otherwise
consideration shall be given to station them in other
area."

Skiping now to paragraph numbered 1 under
"Economic Affairs," on page 8:

"1. It is hoped that the public or private

factories, mines and shops of China occupied or confiscated by the Japanese institutions or individuals in China in the period of military administration (TN. (military period) in the original text must be a mistake of) may quickly be returned to China and, for this purpose, a special rule may be laid down for proper means of joint enterprise."

THE PRESIDENT: Have you finished with that document?

MR. FURNESS: That is all I will read.

THE PRESIDENT: "e will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Morse & Selze

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. President, as American defense counsel for the defendants Jiro MINAMI and Kuniaki KOISO, I wish to state that after consultation with the accused and their Japanese attorneys to have authorized Mr. J. C. Howard to look after the interests of said defendants during my temporary absence in Washington, D.C., on official business. If such meets with the approval of the Tribunal, Mr. Howard agrees to act during my absence.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal approves.

MR. BROOKS: Thank you, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, other

counsel have pointed out to me that the report of the conference with Navy Minister YONAI in the witness' affidavit is only two short paragraphs, that the official Foreign Office: report of the gist of the conversation, exhibit 2586, is far more complete and gives a far more adequate description of the conference.

I, therefore, request permission to read exhibit 2586. It is not intended to read the gist of the conversation between Prince KONOYE and Wang, exhibit 2587, because

that is more adequately renorted in the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed to read.

MR. FURNESS: (Reading)

"From 8 a.m. to 9 a.m. June 12, 1939

""ang: On reading the statement of the Government of your country concerning the present Incident, I understand that Japan's true intention is, in short, as follows:

Japan intends to establish a new East Asia with a common purpose of anti-Communism, helping China in developing itself, to prevent the U.S.S.R. from Bolshevizing China, that is, the menace of the U.S.S.R. to the Orient; and

"(2) that Japan does not assume such an attitude as Britain did toward India. Since the Incident broke out, the Chinese at large have been suspecting that China would be invaded and ruled in the future by Japan, and they have been uneasy about it. If Japan's true intention is what I understand it to be, this uneasiness on the part of the people will soon pass. The problem of communism is more serious. China approved of the Communist Party from 1924 to 1926, adopting the so-called pro-Communist policy. In those days I was in the Government circles, and had experienced various difficulties. Since rejecting the

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Communist Party in 1926, I have paid tremendous sacrifices for exterminating Communists for about ten years as you know; but since the outbreak of the present Incident, CHIANG Kai-shek has adopted the pro-Communist policy again. Assuming an absolutely opposing attitude against this, I often advised him that he was mistaken, but all in vain. For the past two years Communism prevailed more and more freely, and the situation in the Orient has become dangerous. This is the reason why I decided to co-operate with Japan in the anti-Communist movement. As the first step of my operation. I desire to endeavour to construct what is called a new order in East Asia, first of all making the Nationalist Party accept the two points which Japan demands --- that is, joint prevention of Communism and Japan-China co-operation. The purpose of my present visit to Japan is to solicit views of the government authorities of your country for this operation. I esteem it the greatest honour that since the day before yesterday I have been allowed to meet the Premier and the War Minister, and that to-day I can converse with you personally.

"Yonai: Being already informed of your opinion and policy through Captain KAGESA and Captain SUGA, and listening to your direct speech now, I

23

24

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

admire your firm belief. As to problems between Japan and China, it is useless to discuss the past. "e must seriously consider what we have to do at present and in the future. It needs extraordinary efforts to achieve the great work in East Asia. As to this point I have absolute confidence in you, with great reputation, knowledge and ability, who have risen with a firm resolution. Under various circumstances Japanese side may have aroused suspicion or various unpleasant feelings in you. But now I can declare that the Japanese Government authorities have perfect confidence in you, and plan to assist you to accomplish your great work. On this point I hope you will make yourself quite easy, and proceed with firmer belief. Secondly when the two countries, Japan and China, proceed together towards the supreme object of accomplishing a great work in East Asia, each one is under a different circumstance, that is, China has its own standpoint, and Japan its own. We must respect each other's standpoint. If Japan respects China's standpoint and fully understands the difficulties of your circumstances, you will be able to exercise your ability freely; Japan never intends to interfere with trifling affairs within China. The important point is that, with great a common aim, we should hold each

.

18

19 20

21

22

24

2

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other's hand and keep each other's heart in touch, and co-operate in achieving the supreme purpose. As to its practical method some heated discussions may h ve to be conducted; however, if sincerity be shown, a point of agreement will always be found. Speaking rore concretely, the two countries must mutually remove the feeling of superiority, and do away with jealousy and antipathy. We must go along, not only on surface but sincerely, and be one with each other. Next, to accomplish the supreme work in East Asia with cooperation of the two countries it is necessary to establish a new Central Government in China, and this is only a means of accomplishing this tremendous work; and establishing the government itself is not our purpose. A study of recent Chinese history shows that the organization of a central government has too often become the object of scrambling for political power. This must be strictly guarded against, and on this point I have confidence in your ability. The above three points are weat I wanted to say at this opportunity of meeting you to-day, and we shall have another chance to talk about the other details. These are the points I wanted to discuss, and I eagerly expect you to fight your way through.

"Wang: Talking with the Premier and the War Minister since the day before yesterday, I understand very well the intention of your Government, and it has become still clearer for me after listening to your talk to-day. This has made my resolution still firmer. I will do the best of my humble abilities to speedily clear away the feeling of uneasiness on the part of the Chinese people about Japan, and to co-operate with Japan for our common objective.

"YONAI: An Ancient proverb says that one should not be tempted by fame or be afraid of censure. It is important for a man to act rising above fame and interests.

"Wang: Yes, it is important to get along with conviction. A few months before I left Chungking I tried to make Chiang Kai-Shek change his mind without success, and finally I was forced to depart from Chungking. During my stay at Hanoi I sent messages to Chiang through a messenger sent from Chiang that if Chiang should retire from public life, I would follow him, and if he slould go abroad, I would accompany him. But he did not approve of this; on the contrary, finding myself in danger, I made up my mind at last and departed. As I fully understand Japan's true intention through your talk, I will transmit it to my

2 3 4

"Wang: Talking with the Premier and the War Minister since the day before yesterday, I understand very well the intention of your Government, and it has become still clearer for me after listening to your talk to-day. This has made my resolution still firmer. I will do the best of my humble abilities to speedily clear away the feeling of uneasiness on the part of the Chinese people about Japan, and to co-operate with Japan for our common objective.

"YONAI: An Ancient proverb says that one should not be tempted by fame or be afraid of censure. It is important for a man to act rising above fame and interests.

"Wang: Yes, it is important to get along with conviction. A few months before I left Chungking I tried to make Chiang Kai-Shek change his mind without success, and finally I was forced to depart from Chungking. During my stay at Hanoi I sent messages to Chiang through a messenger sent from Chiang that if Chiang should retire from public life, I would follow him, and if he slould go abroad, I would accompany him. But he did not approve of this; on the contrary, finding myself in danger, I made up my mind at last and departed. As I fully understand Japan's true intention through your talk, I will transmit it to my

comrades when I return to my country, and endeavour to settle the situation through co-operating with other comrades, not to speak the Nationalist Party. I believe that so far as they are not Communists, they will soon or later agree with us.

"YONAI: There is a proverb saying "Do not hasten to say yes and do not believe too much". Every thing will be a success, if it be done after mature consideration.

"Wang: Yes, I will try to come up to your expectations."

THE PRESIDENT: It wasn't worth reading,
Major Furness. The affidavit is preferable.

MR. FURNESS: I think there were parts which were worth reading. I think perhaps I read too much, though.

I ask that the witness be shown the book

for which we have the English title "Sharing Our Fate."

I think the Japanese title is Dosei Kyoshi.

(Thereupon, the said document was

handed to the witness)
BY MR. FURNESS (Continued):

Q I ask you to look at that book and tell us what it consists of.

A I have seen this book before in Nanking. It is a book in which the Wang Ching-wei Government, the high officials of the government including Wang, expressed their thoughts as regards the fundamental principles -- their fundamental principles. I believe it is a very authoratative book to gain an insight or idea of what these fundamental principles were.

Q Is it a collection of official documents or otherwise? I mean does it contain speeches and public statements and official documents?

A Yes, it does include official statements and documents.

1 2

3

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

MR. FURNESS: That concludes my direct exam-1 ination, but there are other defense counsel who, I 2 understand, wish to ask the witness certain questions. 3 Before that I tender for identification the 4 book Dosei Kyoshi, "Sharing Our Fate." 5 THE PRESIDENT: Mark it for identification. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: The book styled "Sharing 7 Our Fate," printed in Japanese, being defense document 8 No. 927-951, will receive exhibit No. 2589 for identifica-9 tion only. 10 (Whereupon, the document above 11 referred to was marked defense exhibit 12 No. 2589 for identification.) 13 THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren. 14 MR. WARREN: On the occasion when Wang inter-15 viewed HIRANUMA, who besides yourself was present? 16 THE WITNESS: I believe that Captain KAGESA 17 was present also -- Colonel KAGESA. 18 THE PRESIDENT: These questions are on behalf 19 20 of the accused HIRANUMA, are they? 21 MR. WARREN: Yes, sir. That is the only 22 question I am going to ask, sir. It may become material 23 at a later time. 24

THE PRESIDENT: On behalf of --

MR. WARREN: -- HIRANUMA.

25

MR. FURNESS: That concludes my direct exam-1 ination, but there are other defense counsel who, I 2 understand, wish to ask the witness certain questions. 3 Before that I tender for identification the 4 book Dosei Kyoshi, "Sharing Our Fate." 5 THE PRESIDENT: Mark it for identification. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: The book styled "Sharing 7 Our Fate," printed in Japanese, being defense document 8 No. 927-951, will receive exhibit No. 2589 for identifica-9 tion only. 10 (Whereupon, the document above 11 referred to was marked defense exhibit 12 No. 2589 for identification.) 13 THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren. 14 MR. WARREN: On the occasion when Wang inter-15 viewed HIRANUMA, who besides yourself was present? THE WITNESS: I believe that Captain KAGESA 17 was present also -- Colonel KAGESA. 18 THE PRESIDENT: These questions are on behalf 19 20 of the accused HIRANUMA, are they? MR. WARREN: Yes, sir. That is the only 21 question I am going to ask, sir. It may become material 22 23 at a later time.

THE PRESIDENT: On behalf of --

MR. WARREN: -- HIRANUMA.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sutton.

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution does not desire to cross-examine this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose you want the witness released on the usual terms.

MR. FURNESS: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.

("hereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. FURNESS: I again offer in evidence defense document No. 1387. It is a Certificate of Illness of KAGESA, Sadaaki, from whom we have an affidavit. It will show that he is seriously ill and unable to attend the trial.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, on yesterday I stated that as a result of independent investigation the prosecution was convinced that the witness was not in condition of health to be present in Court. I intended to add our investigation shows also that the witness left the hospital at noon on May 9 to visit a member of his family considered to be critically ill, and returned to the hospital at noon on May 10. This may cast doubt upon his ability to be here, but under all the circumstances I do not

feel justified in insisting upon it. We desire -
THE PRESIDENT: It is a matter for the prosecution.

MR. TAVENNER: Yes. We desire, however, to object to the introduction of the affidavit mentioned by counsel and assume that the only way in which his testimony can be taken is by commission, if they desire to tender it.

THE PRESIDENT: You don't insist upon him being called here but you do want his evidence taken on commission?

MR. TAVENNER: Yes, sir. That is because, your Honor, we desire to cross-examine if they tender his affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, if he can stand up to cross-examination in his present condition, if he could visit a friend outside the hospital on the 10th of May, he probably is well enough to come here. The question becomes one for us to determine when you ask for a commission

This medical certificate was given in April. We should have a later certificate.

MR. FURNESS: "e will endeavor to obtain such a certificate, your Honor.

I would like to point out that yesterday the

feel justified in insisting upon it. We desire -THE PRESIDENT: It is a matter for the prosecu-

MR. TAVENNER: Yes. We desire, however, to object to the introduction of the affidavit mentioned by counsel and assume that the only way in which his testimony can be taken is by commission, if they desire to tender it.

THE PRESIDENT: You don't insist upon him being called here but you do want his evidence taken on commission?

MR. TAVENNER: Yes, sir. That is because, your Honor, we desire to cross-examine if they tender his affidevit.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, if he can stand up to cross-examination in his present condition, if he could visit a friend outside the hospital on the 10th of May, he probably is well enough to come here. The question becomes one for us to determine when you ask for a commission

This medical certificate was given in April. We should have a later certificate.

MR. FURNESS: "e will endeavor to obtain such a certificate, your Honor.

I would like to point out that yesterday the

tion.

4 5

prosecution said that their investigation showed that this witness was not able to attend the trial. In fairness to them I should say they said this examination of their was made on May 5, five days prior to May 10, as I recollect. I should correct myself to April -- they said April 4. We will try to make further investigation to ascertain his condition and if he can be produced in court he will because it will make so much less work for all concerned.

I would, if I can, like to read the affidavit because I think the Court will understand the purport of later documents -- documents which are introduced later -- if I do so. I have no objection to his being cross-examined here or on commission and, of course, if he isn't produced for the purpose the affidavit should be ignored

THE PRESIDENT: Refore we decide anything we should know here present condition. Nobody but a doctor and here he that,

four or five days ago. His condition was very bad and he was not in a condition which would allow him to go out.

THE PRESIDENT: I suspected you were going to tell us something like that. We want a doctor's

opinion.

Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: Until we obtain such opinion, if your Honor please, we will withdraw defense document No. 1282 from the order of proof.

I now offer in evidence defense document

No. 927. This is a telegram reporting a speech made

by Wang Ching-wei, in Hanoi, French Indo-China, after

he fled from Nanking, on December 29, 1938. It shows

the policies under which the new national government

of China was established. It shows not uncritical

acceptance of the policies of Japan but urges changes.

This is fy.om the book "Sharing Our Fate" which has

just been marked for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, this document No. 927 raises a point of some importance. There are approximately, by the latest count, some twenty documents of the same character in today's order of proof. The book itself, published in 1943 in Japanese, is described in the supporting certificate as a collection of speeches made by persons holding high public office in the Nationalist Government at Nanking and also official announcements and documents promulgated by the Nationalist Government at Nanking.

opinion.

Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: Until we obtain such opinion, if your Honor please, we will withdraw defense document No. 1282 from the order of proof.

I now offer in evidence defense document
No. 927. This is a telegram reporting a speech made
by Wang Ching-wei, in Hanoi, French Indo-China, after
he fled from Nanking, on December 29, 1938. It shows
the policies under which the new national government
of China was established. It shows not uncritical
acceptance of the policies of Japan but urges changes.
This is fwom the book "Sharing Our Fate" which has
just been marked for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, this document No. 927 raises a point of some importance. There are approximately, by the latest count, some twenty documents of the same character in today's order of proof. The book itself, published in 1943 in Japanese, is described in the supporting certificate as a collection of speeches made by persons holding high public office in the Nathonalist Government at Nanking and also official announcements and documents promulgated by the Nationalist Government at Nanking.

The excerpts which are contained on the order of proof will disclose that these are not speeches by persons holding high public office in the Nanking Government at Nanking but are, for the most part, speeches made by individuals who hoped to hold high public office in that government.

The certificate goes on to say that the official announcements and documents were promulgated. I do not know the sense in which that word is used in this certificate but I would draw the attention of the Tribunal to the fact that the majority of the announcements and statements to be offered came into existence before the formation of the Nationalist Government at Nanking.

In the submission of the prosecution, the answer to the problem as to what is the true nature and character of this book may be gathered from the preface which states that its purpose is to clarify the fundamental ideas regarding Japanese and Chinese relations. We submit that if this book does contain accurate and true copies of speeches, announcements, telegrams and statements this is not the manner in which to prove these documents. And finally we submit that it is purely political propagranda possessing no probative value and the excerpts from the volume should

Vnalen & Luda

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: Since the certificate has been called into question I would suggest that the clerk distribute to the Court the certificate for defense documents No. 927 to 951.

(Whereupon, documents were distributed to the Tribunal.)

All these documents which we plan to introduce are either official statements of the Wang Ching-wei Government at Nanking, the Renovation Government at Tsingtao, I think, and the Provisional Government at Peking. Many are by Wang Ching-wei himself, who is dead and cannot testify. All held important public office in the Wang Ching-wei Government, which was formed in 1940, which was recognized by Japan. They will show how these governments evolved, why they were set up, and we submit that they will prove that it was not a puppet government but an independent government. They were made in China under the auspices of three governments which no longer exist, and it would be impossible for us to produce the official documents made at the time.

We submit that the best evidence rule does not apply in this proceeding, and that even if it did, this is the best evidence that we can produce. We

3

4

6

7 8

9

11

13

12

14

16

18

17

19 20

21

23

25

submit that it has probative value very definitely, and that under the Charter it should be accepted in evidence.

I believe -- but I cannot say this with certainty -- that some documents from this book have been produced by the prosecution and have been accepted; I cannot swear to that.

THE PRESIDENT: If it has been tendered today for identification for the first time, it is unlikely that the prosecution have used it. They may, however, have used some of the material that the book contains.

MR. FURNESS: It is a printed book, and of course there might have been other copies. We submit, however, that regardless of that it has probative value and that any questions regarding it go to weight and not admissibility, and that, therefore, it should be accepted in evidence.

I should call attention to the fact that the witness identified it.

We are, of course, only introducing into evidence excerpts which we believe are relevant and have probative value in this case before the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner, would you come to the lectern, please?

Members of the Tribunal would like you to

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

submit that it has probative value very definitely, and that under the Charter it should be accepted in evidence.

I believe -- but I cannot say this with certainty -- that some documents from this book have been produced by the prosecution and have been accepted; I cannot swear to that.

THE PRESIDENT: If it has been tendered today for identification for the first time, it is unlikely that the prosecution have used it. They may, however, have used some of the material that the book contains.

MR. FURNESS: It is a printed book, and of course there might have been other copies. We submit, however, that regardless of that it has probative value and that any questions regarding it go to weight and not admissibility, and that, therefore, it should be accepted in evidence.

I should call attention to the fact that the witness identified it.

We are, of course, only introducing into evidence excerpts which we believe are relevant and have probative value in this case before the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner, would you come to the lectern, please?

Members of the Tribunal would like you to

submit that it has probative value very definitely, and that under the Charter it should be accepted in evidence.

I believe -- but I cannot say this with certainty -- that some documents from this book have been produced by the prosecution and have been accepted; I cannot swear to that.

THE PRESIDENT: If it has been tendered today for identification for the first time, it is unlikely that the prosecution have used it. They may, however, have used some of the material that the book contains.

MR. FURNESS: It is a printed book, and of course there might have been other copies. We submit, however, that regardless of that it has probative value and that any questions regarding it go to weight and not admissibility, and that, therefore, it should be accepted in evidence.

I should call attention to the fact that the witness identified it.

We are, of course, only introducing into evidence excerpts which we believe are relevant and have probative value in this case before the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner, would you come to the lectern, please?

Members of the Tribunal would like you to

suggest, if you can, any other way of proving these speeches of persons you allege to be puppets.

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, there may be a number of means of proving it, proving them; for instance, the possession of the original manuscript, the possession of a copy which could be properly authenticated, the testimony of persons who heard the speech made, possibly some official record.

THE PRESIDENT: That occurred to us also. But are those things obtainable?

MR. TAVENNER: Of course, your Honor, it is impossible for us to determine the practicability of obtaining such information as we have not made such an investigation.

THE PRESIDENT: We called on you because of the importance of this particular matter.

MR. TAVENNER: And we are not aware of any investigation that the defense has made.

THE PRESIDENT: And there are obvious difficulties of proof. The mere mention of those alternatives shows that.

Well, you can't help us beyond telling us that.

We have decided to adjourn early with a view
to conferring on the question.

We will adjourn now until half-past nine

tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1545, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 16 May 1947, at 0930.)

tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1545, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 16 May 1947, at 0930.)